

Mill Hill East Area Action Plan

Summary of Representations July 2008

Mill Hill East AAP Summary of Reps

LBB Ref	Objector	Postal address	Correspondance type	Issue previously raised?			Objectio n type	Objection to soundness test	Objection to part of DPD	Representation summary	People who have asked to appear at enquiry	Acknowledged
				No	Issues and options stage	Preferre d options stage						
MHE1	Mr John Clarke	1 Knightsbridge Drive, London, NW7 1HJ, 0208 371 6788, jhnclr1@btinternet.com	Written	n/a	Yes	Yes	Location	7	Proposals map- Fig 8.3 Indicative Access and Movement Plan	Representation states that the main east- west route across the site should run from Frith Close to/ or near the junction with Engel Park, Bittacy Rise which is an existing bus route and capable of taking traffic away from the southern end. Indicating a new junction opposite Sanders Lane is not necessary. If the intention is to turn Sanders Lane from a leafy pedestrian country lane, in order to accommodate traffic travelling through the new development and to take traffic away from Holders Hill Circus, then the best option is to direct it down Engel Park (an existing wide road, and an existing bus route), rather than putting a road where one does not exist.	Yes	Yes
MHE2/2	Mr Elliot Green	6 Tiverton Way, Mill Hill, London, NW7 1GE, 0208 343 1672, elliot.green@tiscali.co.uk	Written	Yes			Procedur e			No notice provided. Mr Green is a resident but given a copy of the proposal by another neighbour		Yes
MHE2/2	Mr Elliot Green	6 Tiverton Way, Mill Hill, London, NW7 1GE, 0208 343 1672, elliot.green@tiscali.co.uk	Written	Yes			Highway s pollution	2A, 3, 5, 6, 8	5.8.10 & 5.8.14, Strategic Highways infrastructure	The DPD fails to take account of the Sustainable Community Strategy (2006-2016) and the 'Clean and Green' priority, and efficient use of energy to minimise climate change. The proposed DPD create new roads (5.8.10 and 5.8.14) which will increase congestion and encourage car use and increase risk to the environment and greenhouse gas and pollution emissions. Risk of increase noise pollution during road construction. Potential helath implications of increased pollution.		Yes

MHE2/3	Mr Elliot Green	6 Tiverton Way, Mill Hill, London, NW7 1GE, 0208 343 1672, elliot.green@tiscali.co.uk	Written	Yes			Compliance with policy		Strategic Highways infrastructure	The representation states that the DPD is not consistent with national policy 'Section 19(2) of Planning and Compulsary Purchase Act 2004 and national government targets on reduction of greenhouse pollution to the environment	Yes	Yes
MHE2/4	Mr Elliot Green	6 Tiverton Way, Mill Hill, London, NW7 1GE, 0208 343 1672, elliot.green@tiscali.co.uk	Written	Yes			Procedure			Inadequate account taken of the disruption to neighbouring and surrounding residents. There are inadequate mechanisms for monitoring implementation		Yes
MHE3/1	John L McGuffog	Hillfield, Amlets Lane, Cranleigh, Surrey, GU6 7DH tel: 01483 21420, jlmcguffog@btinternet.com	Written			Yes	Procedure			On October 25 Mr McGuffog made a representation on behalf of clients 'IBSA'. A reply was not received.	Would welcome further discussions	Yes
MHE3/2	John L McGuffog	Hillfield, Amlets Lane, Cranleigh, Surrey, GU6 7DH tel: 01483 21420, jlmcguffog@btinternet.com	Written			Yes	IBSA House site- Access			Would encourage the opportunity to improve access onto the public highway (The Ridgeway)	Would welcome further discussions	Yes
MHE3/3	John L McGuffog	Hillfield, Amlets Lane, Cranleigh, Surrey, GU6 7DH tel: 01483 21420, jlmcguffog@btinternet.com	Written			Yes	IBSA House site- Employment		XX	Existing commercial operations on the IBSA House should be fully understood and any adjacent new development should respect that commercial activity. Suggested the plan should recognise a limited natural expansion of the IBSA campus with the inclusion of land on the southern and eastern boundary as an environmental buffer zone.	Would welcome further discussions	Yes
MHE3/4	John L McGuffog	Hillfield, Amlets Lane, Cranleigh, Surrey, GU6 7DH tel: 01483 21420, jlmcguffog@btinternet.com	Written			Yes	IBSA House site- Employment		XX	The Area Action Plan should respect the possibility and opportunity to expand this existing and established local employment use (as outlined in a letter to LBB on April 2 2007)	Would welcome further discussions	Yes
MHE4/1	John Ware	23 Tavistock Avenue, London, NW7 1GA	Written	Yes			Procedure			Letter of 12 May requesting representations not received at address or next door neighbours' address	Yes- We would like to expand on points made in the written representation form and to put forward expert evidence on the alternative highways.	Yes

MHE4/2	John Ware	23 Tavistock Avenue, London, NW7 1GA	Written	Yes			Highways		5.8.10 East- West route	Asks how long this has been a wider strategic objective as it seems inconsistent with the longer term transport objective of the government and the imperative to reduce cars	Yes- We would like to expand on points made in the written representation form and to put forward expert evidence on the alternative highways.	Yes
MHE4/3	John Ware	23 Tavistock Avenue, London, NW7 1GA	Written	Yes			Highways		5.8.10 East- West route	Asks when Sanders Lane will be re-opened and whether it will be a one or two lane highway. Asks for explanation about why this is a reopening and considers Sanders Lane a narrow lane.	Yes- We would like to expand on points made in the written representation form and to put forward expert evidence on the alternative highways.	Yes
MHE4/4	Mr John Ware	23 Tavistock Avenue, NW7 1GA, 0795999286, warejef@btinternet.com	Written	Yes- no previous consultation= the letter dated 12 May 2008 was not delivered to representative's house			Sanders Lane	7	Para 5.8.10, Policy MHE10. Section 3.1.4, Fig 5.2 AAP Proposals Map, Table 5.3, Policy MHE10, Fig 5.6 Illustrative Street Network Plan, Section 5.8.10, Policy MHE11, Section 5.8.14, Policy MHE15, Urban Design framework plan, Policy MHE16, Illustrative development framework, Policy MHE 19 and Section 6.5.6	The main street should be re-routed across the north of the site. All references to Sanders Lane should be removed from the DPD. Representation refers to increased congestion, a longer traffic route than necessary, that existing routes have not been considered, that the proposed new junction at an accident black spot, the lack of evidence to suggest that Sanders lane is viable, mention of Sanders Lane in the Manual for Streets, the existing single lane bridge, the loss of a local amenity, that congestion will be created, biodiversity, loss of local amenity, discourages alternatives to car use, adequate capacity in existing road network, borough wide east-west route, no funding in place.		Yes
MHE5/1	Miss Genevieve Markham	150 Devonshire Road, Mill Hill, NW7 1DJ, 0208 346 7664, guthrie288@btinternet.com	Written	Yes			Highway location	7	5.8.10 & 5.8.14	Representation states that Sanders Lane should be maintained as a footpath, it is a precious habitat for wildlife and a much used safe route to the park for many families. A beauty spot where foxes, bats and many varieties of bird reside	Written representation only	Yes
MHE5/2	Miss Genevieve Markham	150 Devonshire Road, Mill Hill, NW7 1DJ, 0208 346 7664, guthrie288@btinternet.com	Written	Yes- No previous mention of Sanders Lane			Highway pollution	7	5.8.10 & 5.8.14	Objection to Sanders Lane being made open to traffic, due to danger, dust and noise that will increase.	Written representation only	Yes

MHE6/1	Mr David Gwyn Jones	35 Westbury Road, West Finchley, London N12 7PB	Written	Yes- Until letter dated May 12 2008, the scale of the project was not appreciated				4A	ALL	Need an additional infrastructure of schools, health centres, roads- rail (Public transport), shops, infrastructure, not just 2000 homes in existing cul-de-sac,	Written representation only	Yes	
MHE7/1	Mrs S Bowen	20 Sanders Lane, Mill Hill, London, NW7 1BD, 02083491051, jazzkid@tiscali.co.uk	Written	Yes- Sanders Lane link was not shown on the Frith Manor School exhibition			Highway		7	Proposals map	The planned highway through Sanders Lane should be abandoned in favour of cheaper, more sustainable route/ connection through Engel Park. The proposals do not represent the most appropriate in all the circumstances. Alternatives e.g. Engel Park has not been considered. This option would be cheaper, more practical, and would not disturb wildlife at Sanders Lane. There is a toad crossing at Sanders Lane and numbers of toads are declining. There are also social aspects of the cycleway, walkway and bridleway.	Yes- Some of the facts may need backup material or references. Mrs Bowen only wishes to speak if relevant input is required	Yes
MHE8/1	Ian S Clement, GLA	City Hall, The Queen's Walk, More London, London, SE1 2AA, 02079834000	written				Energy	4c		Paragraph	The submission document is not in general conformity with the London Plan in relation to the policy area of energy and climate change due to the omission of a policy to require heating and cooling networks where such opportunities exist. The issue of non conformity may be overcome by undertaking feasibility work regarding the provision of a decentralised cooling and heating network, together with the inclusion of an appropriate policy if such provision is found to be feasible.		Yes

MHE9	Ken Bean, GOL	GOL, Planning and Housing Division, 9th floor, Riverwalk Hosue, 157-161 Millbank, London, SW1P 4RR, 02072173126, ken.bean@gol.gsi.gov.uk	Written		Yes	Yes	Housing levels		paragraph 2.3, 5.2	London Plan aims to create 3500 new homes. There AAP has been prepared on the basis of the indicative estimates for growth of 2000 new homes in the period to 2016. Explanation is given in paragraph 2.3 and 5.2 with an additional 360 units with planning permission, 300 replacement units plus 466 on the Gas Works site. This gives a total of 3126 and is still 374 units short of the London Plan target figure. On a point of detail we consider that para 5.2.10 read 'provision for a minimum of 2000 additional new homes' rather than 'approximately'.	No- suggested that the Plan would benefit from the suggested change	Yes
MHE9	Ken Bean, GOL	GOL, Planning and Housing Division, 9th floor, Riverwalk Hosue, 157-161 Millbank, London, SW1P 4RR, 02072173126, ken.bean@gol.gsi.gov.uk	Written		Yes	Yes	employment		Paragraph 4.3.1	Paragraph 4.3.1 refers to the new heart for Mill Hill East as 'providing a range of opportunities for job creation within the site'. Policy MHE3 refers to a minimum of 500 jobs with a focus on small-medium sized workspace and support for local businesses. Paragraph 5.5.1 says 500 net new jobs but paragraph 5.5.4 refers to the creation of around 500 new jobs and the fact that 350 (including part time) jobs being relocated outside the borough as a result of the closure of Inglis Barracks. So it is likely that 150 net additional jobs will be created- the first 350 being replacements for those lost. Given that many of the new residents to the area will not be working locally it is possible that this will add to commuting pressures on public transport	No- suggested that the Plan would benefit from the suggested change	Yes

MHE9	Ken Bean, GOL	GOL, Planning and Housing Division, 9th floor, Riverwalk Hosue, 157-161 Millbank, London, SW1P 4RR, 02072173126, ken.bean@gol.gsi.gov.uk	Written		Yes	Yes	Capacity of Public Transport network		5.4.5	The capacity of the Mill Hill branch of the Northern line is raised. As paragraph 5.4.5 implies any additional units above the 2660 units will be contingent on significant improvements to the Northern Line service and on other modes of public transport. Policy MHE12 on sustainable transport refers to the preparation of a public transport strategy in consultation with TfL and developers being required to make appropriate contributions to the (unspecified) provision of public transport.	No- suggested that the Plan would benefit from the suggested change	Yes
MHE9	Ken Bean, GOL	GOL, Planning and Housing Division, 9th floor, Riverwalk Hosue, 157-161 Millbank, London, SW1P 4RR, 02072173126, ken.bean@gol.gsi.gov.uk	Written		Yes	Yes	Timing and phasing		Various, 4.2.2	Whilst accepting that the timing and phasing is hard to predict, the DPD is not internally consistent on this issue, There is potential for some confusion on the anticipated time period covered by the AAP. For example, the foreword says 'for the next ten years'; the preface says 'up to 2016', in para 4.2.2 '10-15 years' time, para 6.3.1 and page 80 both say that delivery of the new homes and jobs 'in the region of 10 years', para 5.1 that 'the overarching policies will guide development at Mill Hill East to 2016', para 6.1 that the development proposed in the AAP can be delivered 'within the next 10 years' and the Table 7.1 'by 2016'.	No- suggested that the Plan would benefit from the suggested change	Yes
MHE9	Ken Bean, GOL	GOL, Planning and Housing Division, 9th floor, Riverwalk Hosue, 157-161 Millbank, London, SW1P 4RR, 02072173126, ken.bean@gol.gsi.gov.uk	Written		Yes	Yes	Format		Introduction	Introductory and background information provided in the first 33 pages could be edited	No- suggested that the Plan would benefit from the suggested change	Yes

MHE10/1	Mr John Living	Chair Mill Hill Preservation Society, The Studio, Mote end, Nana Clark's Lane, Mmill Hill, London, NW7 4HH, 0208 906 0769, contact@mhps.org.uk	Written		Yes	Yes	Density	4a	Paragraph 5.4.6, 5.4.8, 5.4.10, MHE-CA1, CA2, CA3, Figs 8.2, 8.5, 8.9	Objection to the degree of intensification, rather than the intensification itself. Clause 5.8.16 states accessibility index. We believe that the PTAL rating for the southern part of the site is overrated. We believe that the Mill Hill East underground station does not give high quality access to the underground system (as it is effectively a terminus of a single station brach line). Mr Living states that at best the figures can only be taken as 'estimates' and this is relevant to the calculation of dwelling per hectare in clause 5.8.4 referring to ensuring that densities are in accordance with the London Plan. The AAP appears to use figures from that Urban Setting and it is argued that figures from the Suburban setting should be used. In summary Mr Living states that the PTAL rating is incorrect and the AAP setting is suburban, not urban and this will change the density figures. They suggest alternative densities and state these would still produce the required 'intensified development' that should compliment the suburban nature of the area, and would be much more i	Yes- They do not feel that their previous submission has been taken into account.	Yes
MHE10/2	Mr John Living	Chair Mill Hill Preservation Society, The Studio, Mote end, Nana Clark's Lane, Mmill Hill, London, NW7 4HH, 0208 906 0769, contact@mhps.org.uk	Written		Yes	Yes	Greensp aces		Paragraph 5.7.2, 5.7.4, 8.10/11, 8.2.9/10, 8.3.5/6, Policy MHE7, MHE8 and Fig 5.10.	There is a significant under provision of open space, the location of open space fails to take account, nor adequately protect, the location of existing mature trees and vegetation, the spatial arrangement of open space is poor. They state the provision of open spaces needs to be closer to the recommended UDP level and in a less fragmented arrangement to make the DDP sound.	Yes- They do not feel that the previous submission was not taken into account this time need to ensure we are heard	Yes

MHE10/3	Mr John Living	Chair Mill Hill Preservation Society, The Studio, Mote end, Nana Clark's Lane, Mmill Hill, London, NW7 4HH, 0208 906 0769, contact@mhps.org.uk	Written		Yes	Yes	Sanders Lane		Paragraph 5.8.10, Policy MHE 10, and Proposal Fig 5.6	Proposed re-opening of Sanders Lane was not consulted on, consultation options A,B and C did not make connection with Sanders Lane. The opening of Sanders Lane was not mentioned a the Development Options Workshop in February 2007. The opening of Sanders Lane was mentioend ont he drawings put up for PUblic exhibition in April/June 2007 and the east-west cross routh was shown coming out onto Bittacy hill well north of Sanders lane. The 'possible opening of Sanders Lane was muted in the AAP 'Preferred Options Report' September and implied that it would probably not happend because it has not been tested. In the Submission Document May 2008 there is a definitive statement on the subject that 'there exists a wider strategic objective to create a east-west link across the central part of the Borough linking Pursley Road/ deveonshire Road with Frith Lane throught he extension and improvement of Sanders Lane'. Mr Lliving states that this 'wider strategic objective' has been unveiled very late in the process and has not been subjected	Yes- They do not feel that the previous submission was not taken into account this time need to ensure we are heard	Yes
MHE10	Mr John Living	Chair Mill Hill Preservation Society, The Studio, Mote end, Nana Clark's Lane, Mmill Hill, London, NW7 4HH, 0208 906 0769, contact@mhps.org.uk	Written		Yes	Yes	Housing levels		Paragraphn 5.1 and section 2.2	The MHPS are confused about the statement in this section; required Housing Target for 2026 is not 2000 homes but 2500 as porposed by the non-statutory North London Sub-regional Development Framework. MHPS context the 200 homes target figure and it follows that a target figure of 3500 homes on the site would be out of the question	Yes- They do not feel that the previous submission was not taken into account this time need to ensure we are heard	Yes

MHE10	Mr John Living	Chair Mill Hill Preservation Society, The Studio, Mote end, Nana Clark's Lane, Mmill Hill, London, NW7 4HH, 0208 906 0769, contact@mhps.org.uk	Written		Yes	Yes	Traffic		Page 45	Technical tests have been undertaken to assess the potential impact of the 3500 homes on the transport network and state 'it is estimated that this would result in significant and unsustainable increases in traffic, during peak hours'. MHPS are concerned about the traffic implacation of a development of 2000 homes, a school, employment opportunities, shops and a medical facility. MHPS asks what Technical tests have been accried out on the AAP proposed development, and where are the test results recorded for public view and why these are not included in the document. MHPS is not persuaded that the increased traffic generation can be dealt with on this site. MHPS lists comments from the AAP that explain graphically the problems, but are light on solutions. There is currently congestion at key times and see the development as causing considerably more congestion. MHPS feel that nothign is being proposed for the surrounding roads other than traffic lights at existing or new junctions. They see that this will no colve the new congestion but	Yes- They do not feel that the previous submission was not taken into account this time need to ensure we are heard	Yes
-------	----------------	--	---------	--	-----	-----	---------	--	---------	---	---	-----

MHE10/4	Mr John Living	Chair Mill Hill Preservation Society, The Studio, Mote end, Nana Clark's Lane, Mmill Hill, London, NW7 4HH, 0208 906 0769, contact@mhps.org.uk	Written		Yes	Yes	design Options		Section 1.5	The text describes the options in the plural and then refers to the scheme in the singular. MHPS reserve the right to comment on any or all of the options shown in the report. They are not persuaded that the previously preferred option schemes A and B have been used to produce the plan figure 7.1. Both A and B have linked gerred spaces and this concept seems to have been lost. Scheme A did not have a high street concept yet the final proposed option does have. Shops in scheme A were a reinforcement to what is currently available from Waitrose down to Holders Hill Circus. What is proposed appears to be a 'mini Mill Hill Broadway' and may have a detrimental effect on the existing shopping. MHPS ask if a retail impact study has been done for the wider area? They suggest that is optimistic to suggest that a new high street will work	Yes- They do not feel that the previous submission was not taken into account this time need to ensure we are heard	Yes
MHE10/5	Mr John Living	Chair Mill Hill Preservation Society, The Studio, Mote end, Nana Clark's Lane, Mmill Hill, London, NW7 4HH, 0208 906 0769, contact@mhps.org.uk	Written		Yes	Yes	Character		AAP page 36	MHPS agreed with the design criteria set out at the Public Exhibition including principle 4 with the exception of the words 'from greembelt edge to more urban character' on the basis that we think the setting is wholly suburban as most of the AAP report seems to acknowledge. The overall impression for the document to MHPS is that it fails to reflect or illustrate adequately the existing character and context of Mill Hill and the suburban nature of Barnet. The report acknowledges the suburban nature of the area or MHPS feel the AAP fails to provide a good analysis of this suburban character and creatively select this in the indicative Master Plan.	Yes- They do not feel that the previous submission was not taken into account this time need to ensure we are heard	Yes

MHE11	Mr Robert Orton	Elm Tree House, 12 Tavistock Avenue, Mill Hill, London, NW7 1GA, 02081772827, rorton@ukgateway. net	Written		Yes		Sanders Lane		paragraph 5.8.10, 5.8.14, 6.5.6, Policy MHE 10, and Proposal Fig 5.6	The submission is considered unsound because it fails test 7. Suggested amendment to the submission Replace policy MHE10 with the following- 'Development should not be reliant on the future opening of a new east-West route outside of the AAP area'. In addition request to remove all phrases referencing the opening up of Sanders Lane. On the basis that Sanders lane is inappropriately identified as a future road corridor, the proposed east west route is not sustainable (drawings attached). This is required because the policy does not show how the 'social, environmental objectives of sustainability will be achieved'. 5.8.10-> Sanders Lane improvement will not enhance neighbouring areas.Request for removal of statement in 5.8.14 'This route is not required as part of the AAP but in the longer term will be reopened to improve borough wide east-west movement;.	Yes- to ensure representations are properly considered	Yes
MHE 11/1	Mr Robert Orton	Elm Tree House, 12 Tavistock Avenue, Mill Hill, London, NW7 1GA, 02081772827, rorton@ukgateway. net	Written		Yes			7		The drawings showing the wider area used through the consultation process are not up to date (AAP submission draft May 2008, Figs 1.1, 3.1, 5.1, 5.2, 5.6) and do not show current buildings in situ so not allowing proper consideration to the potential impacts on residents	Yes- to ensure representations are properly considered	Yes
MHE 11/2	Mr Robert Orton	Elm Tree House, 12 Tavistock Avenue, Mill Hill, London, NW7 1GA, 02081772827, rorton@ukgateway. net	Written		Yes		Sanders Lane- historic context		SA Framework Objective number 16	To maintain and enhance the historic environment and cultural/leisure assets of Mill Hill East'. Notes that the area along Sanders Lane is of important historical significance. It is important for the DPD to recognise and protect the historic and cultural assets of Mill Hill East. Sanders Lane should not therefore be mentioned in the AAP as a through route	Yes- to ensure representations are properly considered	Yes

MHE 11/3	Mr Robert Orton	Elm Tree House, 12 Tavistock Avenue, Mill Hill, London, NW7 1GA, 02081772827, rorton@ukgateway. net	Written		Yes		Sanders Lane- traffic		SA Framework Objective number 1, 8, 12	The re-opening of Sanders Lane contradicts the conclusion of the Peter Brett Associates Traffic Consultants Non Technical Summary Report dated August 2007 para 6.5. 'it is considered that Engel park has the environmental capacity to accommodate the predicted increase in traffic	Yes- to ensure representations are properly considered	Yes
MHE 11/4	Mr Robert Orton	Elm Tree House, 12 Tavistock Avenue, Mill Hill, London, NW7 1GA, 02081772827, rorton@ukgateway. net	Written		Yes				DPD para 2.1.1	Considers altering a footpath to become a two lane public highway goes against the objective 'to place particular emphasis on... walking and cycling as alternatives to car use'. The lane is used extensively by the local community to access a nursery, allotments, Bittacy park, a Scout hut, Barnet Countryside Leisure Walks and LBB Permissive Parks trail, school routes and it is also used by cyclists, ramblers, horse riders and others. Objections to the loss of open space and the creation of a line of severance across existing area.	Yes- to ensure representations are properly considered	Yes
MHE 11/5	Mr Robert Orton	Elm Tree House, 12 Tavistock Avenue, Mill Hill, London, NW7 1GA, 02081772827, rorton@ukgateway. net	Written		Yes		Sanders Lane- Biodiver sity		SA framework objective 4	There is an abundance of wildlife along Sanders Lane. Thirty one species of birds have been counted along the lane (list supplied). Other wildlife including bats, slow worms, hedgehogs, toads, as well as foxes and squirrels and a wide variety of butterflies, bees moths and insects among others. Opening Sanders Lane would result in a considerable loss of trees and plants that live on either side of the lane (list attached). Notes that Barnet does not have a Biodiversity Action Plan in place, and that there is no evidence in AAP to suggest that biodiversity issues relating to Sanders Lane have been considered.	Yes- to ensure representations are properly considered	Yes

MHE 12/1	Mrs Tiffany Orton Mrics	Elm Tree House, 12 Tavistock Avenue, London, NW7 1GA, 02081772827, 07887925587, tiffany.orton@delancey.com	Written	No-sanders Lane issue has only comes to public notice during the 6 week 'soundness;' consultation, not before			Sanders Lane		7 paragraph 5.8.10, 5.8.14, 6.5.6, Policy MHE 10, and Proposal Fig 5.6 and 5.2	To make the DPD sound all references to the change of use of Sanders Lane from a footpath to a 2 lane highway should be removed because 1) Sanders Lane falls outside of the AAP boundary. It should therefore be removed and considered on its own merits and consulted upon fully at a later date. 2) DPD is contradictory (para 2.1.1) as it states the emphasis is on walking and cycling as alternatives to car use. 3) the proposal to re-open Sanders Lane contradicts the council's own Traffic Consultants (P Brett). The summary in para 6.5 concludes that it is considered that Engel Park has the capacity to accommodate the predicted traffic increase.	Yes- to ensure all issues are heard	Yes
MHE 13/1	Mr and Mrs Robert and Tiffany Orton Mrics	Elm Tree House, 12 Tavistock Avenue, Mill Hill London, NW7 1GA, 07974 833104, rorton@ukgateway.net	Written	NO- the consultation process was not carried out in accordance with barnet's Statement of Community Involvement, providing no opportunity to make representations			Procedure relating to Sanders Lane	2A	Para 5.8.10, 5.8.14, 6.5.6, Policy MHE 10 and fig 5.6	Test 2A The submission has not been prepared in compliance with the Statement of Community Involvement (SCI) as residents of the Lidbury estate, Sanders Lane and Grants Close have not been consulted- the process of consultation leading up to this submission stage did not identify the proposal to open up Sanders Lane to residents (Inclusion and Participation). The submission is regarded as not transparent as there is confusion about whether Sanders Lane falls inside or outside the AAP area. The plans include out of date information (12 Tavistock Avenue) is not shown on drawings. Representation finds the consultation has not been carried out with openness. Feedback from the formal Emerging Options consultation in February 2006 was not fed into the pre-submission document as invited representatives clearly stated that they do not want Sanders Lane to open up to traffic. The proposal to open up Sanders Lane is stated as an objective of the Council's Corporate Plan 2007/8-2010-2011 when this has not been subject of consultation.	Yes- to ensure objections are considered properly	Yes

MHE14/1	Mrs Sandra Balla	Flat 9, 35 Redshank Court, Harrier Road, NW9 5BZ, 02082026754, sandram1@fsmail. net	Written	No- because resident only became aware of the proposal recently					7 Para 5.8.14, 5.8.10, 6.5.6, MHE10, 5.6	Change sought: remove all reference to Sanders Lane from the Area Action Plan as Sanders Lane does not fall within the AAP boundary and the plan is contradictory on whether the lane is required for the AAP to process. MC, Major Projects Manager has verbally confirmed that the AAP is not dependant on Sanders Lane. Representation states that the Sanders Lane plan should be removed and considered on its own merits. To date there is no credible evidence base to warrant the inclusion of Sanders Lane (see P. Brett, technical summary).	No	Yes
MHE 15	Mr Richard Testar	District Chairman, Finchley, Friern Barnet and Golders Green District Scout Council, 11 Park Way, N20 OXS, 020 8368 5581, richardtestar@tesc o.net	Written	NO- the proposal Para 5.7.12 was not mentioned in the preferred options report dated Spetembe r 2007. This is therefore a new issue.					Para 5.7.12, 8.4.11, page 54 fig 5.5	The Scout Council expresses concern at the item raised in para 5.7.12 which states 'it is proposed that the Scout Camp will be retained, but opportunities to improve public access will be explored'. This is considered as a new issue. Campsite has approximately 80 years to run on the lease. The campsite is used for scouting activities which are carried out under proper control and supervision by qualified leaders, all are CRB checked and there are fears about the general public having access to the site. The site and buildings are vulnerable by virtue of their position. The buildings contain prpoerty of considerable value and the development should ensure that adequate boundary fences are erected to ensure the safety of the site. Relating to page 54 fig 5.5, this has been the subject of correspondence between the Scout Council and the Director of PHR. It refers to the suggested siting of a Sustainable Urban Drainage System (SUDS) which is shown located on the campsite field. The representation expresses concern about the siting and nature	NO	Yes

MHE 16/1	Agent for the Inglis Consortium, Nick Alston,	Agent for the Inglis Consortium, Nick Alston, MRTPI, Planning, Development and Regeneration, GVA Grimley Ltd, 10 Stratton Street London, W1j8JR, Telephone: 02079112056 Mobile: 07903988091 email: nicholas/alston@gv agrimley.co.uk	Written	Yes- Matter related to consistency with policies/guidance provided in the London Plan (2008) published since AAP preferred options stage			Housing density		9 MHE- CA3 and MHE- CA4	The representee agrees with the proposed densities set out in Policy MHE-CA1 in terms of habitable rooms per hectare, however in order to be as flexible as possible they proposed that the acceptable density range in terms of dwellings per hectare should be amended to 35-75 dph to reflect Table 3A.2 of the London plan. Policy 3A.3 of the London Plan (2008) required development proposals to achieve the maximum intensity of use compatible with local context, the design principles of Policy 4B.1 (of the London Plan) and with public transport capacity and to adopt the residential density ranges set out in Table 3A.2 (of the London Plan). Table 3A.2 advises that appropriate densities in the part of the site covered by this policy should be 150-200 hrph (35-75 dph) on account of its PTAL rating (1) and 'suburban' setting. AAP Policy MHE-CA1 established a target density of 40 dph (within an acceptable range of 35-50 dph (150-200 hrph)). while the target density (40dph) and acceptable range in terms of habitable rooms proposed by Policy MHE-CA1 is consistent with the Tab	Yes- The Inglis Consortium are major landowners in the area affected by the AAP, therefore it is appropriate for them to have the opportunity to be involved at all stages in the evolution of the plan.	Yes
----------	---	--	---------	--	--	--	-----------------	--	-------------------------	--	--	-----

MHE 16/1	Agent for the Inglis Consortium, Nick Alston, MRTPI, Planning, Development and Regeneration, GVA Grimley Ltd, 10 Stratton Street London, W1j8JR, Telephone: 02079112056 Mobile: 07903988091 email: nicholas/alston@gva.grimley.co.uk	Agent for the Inglis Consortium, Nick Alston, MRTPI, Planning, Development and Regeneration, GVA Grimley Ltd, 10 Stratton Street London, W1j8JR, Telephone: 02079112056 Mobile: 07903988091 email: nicholas/alston@gva.grimley.co.uk		Yes- The outcomes of the detailed masterplanning undertaken by the Inglis Consortium since the AAP preferred options stage have led to the preparation for this representation			Housing density		9)MHE-CA3 and MHE-CA4	Policy MHE-CA3 and Policy MHE-CA4 The representation states that to reflect the site's mainly suburban context, we agree that the predominant building height in the 'Green Belt Edge' and 'Central Slopes' Character Areas should generally be 2-4 storeys (as proposed by the AAP policies MHE-CA1 and MHE-CA2). It is suggested that AAP takes a more flexible approach to permit some higher buildings to the south of the site where the setting is more 'urban', visual impacts can be managed and accessibility is at its highest. Accordingly, we suggest that additional flexibility is incorporated into Policies MHE-CA3 and MHE-CA4 to allow limited development in excess of 6 storeys in the 'Residential Souther Hib' and 'Local High Street' Character Area if this can be supported by sound urban design principles. The proposed minor amendment would ensure that the AAP incorporate sufficient flexibility to help ensure successful delivery of development objectives, and therefore accord more closely with PPS12 test of soundness 9.	Yes- The Inglis Consortium are major landowners in the area affected by the AAP, therefore it is appropriate for them to have the opportunity to be involved at all stages in the evolution of the plan.	Yes
----------	--	--	--	--	--	--	-----------------	--	-----------------------	--	--	-----

MHE 16/3	Agent for the Inglis Consortium, Nick Alston, MRTPI, Planning, Development and Regeneration, GVA Grimley Ltd, 10 Stratton Street London, W1j8JR, Telephone: 02079112056 Mobile: 07903988091 email: nicholas/alston@gva.grimley.co.uk	Agent for the Inglis Consortium, Nick Alston, MRTPI, Planning, Development and Regeneration, GVA Grimley Ltd, 10 Stratton Street London, W1j8JR, Telephone: 02079112056 Mobile: 07903988091 email: nicholas/alston@gva.grimley.co.uk	Written			Yes	Housing density		4 MHE-CA3 and MHE CA4	The representee agrees with the proposed densities set out in Policy MHE-CA3 however in order to be as flexible as possible they proposed that the acceptable density range should be amended to 45-170 dph to reflect Table 3A.2 of the London plan. Policy 3A.3 of the London Plan (2008) required development proposals to achieve the maximum intensity of use compatible with local context, the design principles of Policy 4B.1 (of the London Plan) and with public transport capacity and to adopt the residential density ranges set out in Table 3A.2 (of the London Plan). Table 3A.2 advises that appropriate densities in the part of the site covered this policy should be 200-450 hrph (45-170 dph) on account of its PTAL rating (2/3) and 'urban' setting. AAP Policy MHE-CA3 established a target density of 120 dph (within an acceptable range of 100-450 dph (250-450 hrph)). While the target density (120dph) sits well with the provisions of the London Plan (Table 3A.2) the acceptable density range in terms of dwelling per hectare does not exactly reflect this strategic policy guidance. The propo	Yes- The Inglis Consortium are major landowners in the area affected by the AAP, therefore it is appropriate for them to have the opportunity to be involved at all stages in the evolution of the plan.	Yes
----------	--	--	---------	--	--	-----	-----------------	--	-----------------------	---	--	-----

MHE 16/4	Agent for the Inglis Consortium, Nick Alston, MRTPI, Planning, Development and Regeneration, GVA Grimley Ltd, 10 Stratton Street London, W1j8JR, Telephone: 02079112056 Mobile: 07903988091 email: nicholas/alston@gvagrimley.co.uk	Agent for the Inglis Consortium, Nick Alston, MRTPI, Planning, Development and Regeneration, GVA Grimley Ltd, 10 Stratton Street London, W1j8JR, Telephone: 02079112056 Mobile: 07903988091 email: nicholas/alston@gvagrimley.co.uk	Written			Yes	Drainage		7 Paragraph 5.9.10 Policy MHE-14	Representation recognises the benefits of incorporating Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems into new developments. However, recent technical work (undertaken since the AAP Preferred Option stage) is suggesting that providing SUDS at Mill Hill East may not be technically feasible, whilst recognising that technological advances could enable this issue to be overcome in the future, to ensure Policy MHE14 (and the requirements of paragraph 5.9.10) is implementable at the time we propose that it should incorporate greater flexibility to allow for alternative drainage systems to be provided (Instead of SUDS) if this should prove necessary following discussion with the LPA. The proposed amendment will ensure that the AAP is in accordance with PPS12 test of soundness 7.	Yes- The Inglis Consortium are major landowners in the area affected by the AAP, therefore it is appropriate for them to have the opportunity to be involved at all stages in the evolution of the plan.	Yes
----------	---	---	---------	--	--	-----	----------	--	----------------------------------	---	--	-----

MHE 16/5	Agent for the Inglis Consortium, Nick Alston, MRTPI, Planning, Development and Regeneration, GVA Grimley Ltd, 10 Stratton Street London, W1j8JR, Telephone: 02079112056 Mobile: 07903988091 email: nicholas/alston@gva.grimley.co.uk	Agent for the Inglis Consortium, Nick Alston, MRTPI, Planning, Development and Regeneration, GVA Grimley Ltd, 10 Stratton Street London, W1j8JR, Telephone: 02079112056 Mobile: 07903988091 email: nicholas/alston@gva.grimley.co.uk	Written			Yes	Energy		9 Policy MHE-14	The representation supports Policy MHE14's requirement for an Energy Strategy to be prepared to identify requirements for strategic energy infrastructure needed to support the proposed development as this would enable all aspects of the London Plan's energy and climate change policy agenda to be considered (including investigating the potential for decentralised heating and cooling networks). It is our preliminary view that the Energy Strategy should explore a number of alternative technological solutions to address sustainable energy policy requirements, in order to establish the most appropriate approach. Each of these alternative would be likely to have different land take requirements- as these are yet to be established/ tested, we propose that Policy MHE14 should be amended to state that land is required for the provision of sustainable infrastructure without specifying an actual area. This will ensure that the Policy incorporate sufficient flexibility and by doing so to ensure its compliance with PPS12 test of soundness 9.	Yes- The Inglis Consortium are major landowners in the area affected by the AAP, therefore it is appropriate for them to have the opportunity to be involved at all stages in the evolution of the plan.	Yes
----------	--	--	---------	--	--	-----	--------	--	------------------	--	--	-----

MHE 16/6	Agent for the Inglis Consortium, Nick Alston, MRTPI, Planning, Development and Regeneration, GVA Grimley Ltd, 10 Stratton Street London, W1j8JR, Telephone: 02079112056 Mobile: 07903988091 email: nicholas/alston@gvagrimley.co.uk	Agent for the Inglis Consortium, Nick Alston, MRTPI, Planning, Development and Regeneration, GVA Grimley Ltd, 10 Stratton Street London, W1j8JR, Telephone: 02079112056 Mobile: 07903988091 email: nicholas/alston@gvagrimley.co.uk	Written	Yes				Housing density		4 Table 7.1 (row MHE MF2) and 5.4.8, Policy MHE2	The representation states that 'In principle we agree with the proposed average density of around 85dph and the proposed density range of 150-450 hrph as set out in Policy MHE2. Table 7.1 and Paragraph 5.4.8. However, in order to introduce appropriate flexibility, we propose that the acceptable density range should be amended to 35-170 dph to reflect Table 3A.2 of the London Plan (noting that this would not involve increasing the proposed density range in terms of habitable rooms per hectare). Policy 3A.3 of the London Plan (2008) requires development proposals to achieve the maximum intensity for use compatible with local context, the design principles of Policy 4B.1 (of the London Plan) and with public transport capacity, and to adopt the residential density ranges set out in Table 3A.2 (of the London Plan). Table 3A.2 advises that appropriate densities on the site should be 150-450hrph (35-170dph) on account of its PTAL rating (ranging from 1 to 2/3) and combination of 'suburban' and 'urban' settings. AAP Policy MHE2, Table 7.1 and Paragraph 5.4.8 estab	Yes- The Inglis Consortium are major landowners in the area affected by the AAP, therefore it is appropriate for them to have the opportunity to be involved at all stages in the evolution of the plan.	Yes
----------	---	---	---------	-----	--	--	--	-----------------	--	--	--	--	-----

MHE 16/7	Agent for the Inglis Consortium, Nick Alston, MRTPI, Planning, Development and Regeneration, GVA Grimley Ltd, 10 Stratton Street London, W1j8JR, Telephone: 02079112056 Mobile: 07903988091 email: nicholas/alston@gva.grimley.co.uk	Agent for the Inglis Consortium, Nick Alston, MRTPI, Planning, Development and Regeneration, GVA Grimley Ltd, 10 Stratton Street London, W1j8JR, Telephone: 02079112056 Mobile: 07903988091 email: nicholas/alston@gv.grimley.co.uk	Written	Yes			Housing density		9 MHE7	The representation states that they support the provision of around 5.5ha of open space on the site. In order to help ensure delivery of this objective they propose that this requirement should be applied as flexibly as possible and be met through provision of a wide range of 'types' of open space, including land designated as Green Belt (which would have to remain 'open' to comply with PPG2: Green Belts) and children's playspace (as well as local parks, woodland and sports pitches). Accordingly, we propose that Policy MHE7 is amended to include reference to children's playspace and Green Belt land as acceptable 'types' of open space (that would count towards the 5.5 ha proposed level of provision). The proposed amendment to Policy MHE7 would help to ensure that the AAP incorporates reasonable flexibility as required by PPS12 test of soundness 9.	Yes- The Inglis Consortium are major landowners in the area affected by the AAP, therefore it is appropriate for them to have the opportunity to be involved at all stages in the evolution of the plan.	Yes
----------	--	---	---------	-----	--	--	-----------------	--	--------	--	--	-----

MHE 16/8	Agent for the Inglis Consortium, Nick Alston, MRTPI, Planning, Development and Regeneration, GVA Grimley Ltd, 10 Stratton Street London, W1j8JR, Telephone: 020791 12056 Mobile: 07903988091 email: nicholas/alston@gva.grimley.co.uk	Agent for the Inglis Consortium, Nick Alston, MRTPI, Planning, Development and Regeneration, GVA Grimley Ltd, 10 Stratton Street London, W1j8JR, Telephone: 020791 12056 Mobile: 07903988091 email: nicholas/alston@gva.grimley.co.uk	Written			Yes	Sanders Lane		8 Paragraph 6.5.6	In the supporting text to Policy MHE19 (paragraph 6.5.6) reference is made to a 'strategic new highways link' connecting Frith Lane to a newly reopened Sanders Lane and Devenshire Road, which we understand forms part of an aspiration for wider strategic highway enhancements in the Borough. It is not made clear in the AAP how these strategic highways improvements are to be funded- however, as they are referred to in paragraph 6.5.6 of the AAP, it is suggested that planning obligations associated with Mill Hill East may include contributions towards them. In principle we support these strategic highways improvements on account of the borough-wide benefits that they would bring. However, we understand that these improvements are not essential in order to deliver the AAP and therefore propose that the AAP should not be directly linked to them. In light of this, we propose that reference to the 'strategic new highways link' should be removed from paragraph 6.5.6 or, alternatively, clarity provided over how these improvements are to be	Yes- The Inglis Consortium are major landowners in the area affected by the AAP, therefore it is appropriate for them to have the opportunity to be involved at all stages in the evolution of the plan.	Yes
MHE 16,9	Agent for the Inglis Consortium, Nick Alston, MRTPI, Planning, Development and Regeneration, GVA Grimley Ltd, 10 Stratton Street London, W1j8JR, Telephone: 020791 12056 Mobile: 07903988091 email: nicholas/alston@gva.grimley.co.uk	Agent for the Inglis Consortium, Nick Alston, MRTPI, Planning, Development and Regeneration, GVA Grimley Ltd, 10 Stratton Street London, W1j8JR, Telephone: 020791 12056 Mobile: 07903988091 email: nicholas/alston@gva.grimley.co.uk	Written	Yes			Total residential units		9 Paragraph 5.2.8 and Policy MHE1	The representation states that it supports the Aap's proposal for around 2660 residential units at Mill Hill East, however we suggest that this figure should be applied as a 'target' as opposed to a 'total' to allow for greater flexibility at the masterplanning stage and help ensure delivery. Accordingly, we propose that the word 'total' should be replaced by 'target' in Policy MHE1 and paragraph 5.2.8. Therefore the proposed change will allow for greater flexibility in the application of the AAP's objectives which will assist in housing delivery and reflect PPS12 test of soundness 9.	Yes- The Inglis Consortium are major landowners in the area affected by the AAP, therefore it is appropriate for them to have the opportunity to be involved at all stages in the evolution of the plan.	Yes

MHE 17/1	Ms Wendy Robbins	23 Tavistock Avenue NW7 1GA, 07940510049, wendy.robbins@the-storytelling.co.uk	Written	Yes- not previously consulted			Sanders Lane		7	Para 5.8.14, Policy MHE 10	Representation states Policy MHE10 should read 'Sanders Lane should remain closed to any through traffic, and should not be used as a relief road in the future to accommodate any increase in traffic caused by the AAP or its future expansion, i.e. Development should constrain the reopening of Sanders Lane at any point in the future. Representation requests that paragraph 5.8.14 should be removed from the AAP. Representation states that the DPD is unsound because it does not meet the SA Framework objectives: 1) to reduce car dependency-reopening Sanders Lane would increase car dependency 8) to protect, maintain and enhance the quality of open spaces and ensure effective access to open space- It will prevent ready access to Bittacy Park and the allotments 12) To improve the quality of life where people live- the lane is used exclusively by the local community for many purposes	Yes	Yes
MHE 17/2	Ms Wendy Robbins	23 Tavistock Avenue NW7 1GA, 07940510049, wendy.robbins@the-storytelling.co.uk	Written	Yes			Location		7	Paragraph 5.8.14, MHE 10, 5.6	Representation states that paragraph 5.8.14 should be removed from the AAP. The representation also asks that it is necessary to remove the shaded area on map 5.6 marking Sanders Lane as a potential highways improvement area. The DPD is unsound because policy MHE10, map 5.6 and paragraph 5.8.14 do not show the most appropriate links to the wider road network and are not based on robust and credible evidence. Bittacy and Sanders Residents' Association would categorically not support the use of section 106 money to open Sanders Lane as through-link in the future.	Yes- we would like to expand on points made in this representation	Yes

MHE 17/3	Ms Wendy Robbins	23 Tavistock Avenue NW7 1GA, 07940510049, wendy.robbsins@the-storytelling.co.uk	Written				Biodiversity		7	Policy MHE10, Proposals 5.2 & 5.6	Policy MHE10- Phrase should be changed to 'Sanders Lane should remain closed to any through traffic, and should not be used as a relief road in the future to accommodate any increase in traffic caused by the AAP or its future expansion i.e. Development SHOULD CONSTRAIN the reopening of Sanders Lane at any point in the future. DPD is considered unsound as it does not meet SA framework objectives:4. To protect and enhance existing biodiversity and natural habitats. There is an abundance of wildlife along Sanders Lane. Appendices are attached with bird species, flora and fauna lists including two different types of bat species, slow worms, grass snakes, stag beetles and a toad crossing.	Yes- we would like to expand on points made in this representation	Yes
MHE 17/4	Ms Wendy Robbins	23 Tavistock Avenue NW7 1GA, 07940510049, wendy.robbsins@the-storytelling.co.uk	Written	No- Informed by LBB that Sanders Lane would not be re-opened					7	Paragraph 5.8.14, MHE 10, Proposal map 5.6	Policy MHE 10 should be changed to 'Sanders Lane should remain closed to any increase in traffic caused by the AAP or its future expansion i.e. Development SHOULD CONSTRAIN the reopening of Sanders Lane at any point in the future'. Representation asks that paragraph 5.8.14 should be removed from the AAP. The DPD is unsound because it does not meet the following SA Framework objectives: 16 'To maintain and enhance the historic environment and cultural/ leisure assets of Mill Hill East. The representation states that the DPD recognises and protects the historic, cultural and leisure assets of Mill Hill East. The document is called the 'Mill Hill East Area Action Plan', so it makes sense for it to protect the historical centre from which it takes its name. Sanders Lane should therefore NOT be mentioned in the DPD as a potential future through-route	Yes- we would like to expand on points made in this representation	Yes

MHE 17/5	Ms Wendy Robbins	23 Tavistock Avenue NW7 1GA, 07940510049, wendy.robbins@the-storytelling.co.uk	Written					7	5.5	The map is considered incorrect. The areas marked 'existing wooded edges' are far from accurate. Photographic evidence can be provided. The exit of the new east-west route along the site, for instance, marked as coming out opposite Sanders Lane at the junction of Bittacy Hill is shown on the map as not having an existing wooded edge. This is stated as not true. 2) Directly across from Sanders Lane, on the other side of Bittacy Hill there are currently, 7 Horse Chestnut trees, 10 Hawthorns, Mature Ivy and Brambles 3) There are existing junctions not marked on the map (for instance Bray Road) which so not have trees. However trees are shown on the map. The map should be corrected to accurately reflect the existing wooded areas. If an exit was to be created opposite Sanders Lane, there would be significant tree loss, which goes against AAPs policies.	Yes - we would like to expand on the points made in written evidence and show photographic evidence	Yes
MHE 18/1	Cllr John Hart	Cllr for Mill Hill ward, LBB, 11 Mountview, Mill Hill, NW7 3HT, 02083810083, cllr.j.hart@barnet.co.uk	written	Yes						No reference is made to the need for a tree survey of the whole site. Major trees will disappear under pressure from developments.		Yes
MHE 19/1	ON behalf of IBSA, IBSA House, Messrs J L McGuffog and B Coffey, Chartered Surveyors, Hillfield Amlets Lane, Cranleigh, Surrey, G46 7 DH, 07836 690542, jlmcguffog@btinternet.com and bc.gts@live.co.uk				yes 2nd April 2007, 25th October 2007	Follow up letter May 15		7 and 9		See MHE 3. The DPD does not recognise the agreed fact IBSA House is 'an important local employment site'. New adjacent alternative land/ building uses should be compatible with this fact in accord with national Planning Policy guidelines.	Yes- Previous representations have been ignored	Yes

MHE 20/1	Dr S. O. Natelson, The London Wildlife Trust (Barnet Group), 17 Hollickwood Avenue, Friern Barnet, London, N12 OLS, 02083612496, ollie.natelson@virgin .net			Yes- LBB did not indicate sufficiently clearly any intention to include Sanders Lane in its developm ent proposals.				3, 4b and 9	para 5.8.10, and 5.8.14, Policy MHE 10	To include an ecological impact assessment, an Environmental Statement and Full Public Participation, to obtain a DEFRA license to enable the protected species to suffer as little disruption as possible. as required under English Law. Supporting document submitted providing evidence of the presence of protected wildlife and protected species of wildlife. This states the legal requirements that Barnet Council as local planning authority is required to follow by law. It lists the protected wildlife present on Sanders Lane including bats, snakes, slow worms and stag beetles.	Yes- In order to explain he need for the LPA to comply with the legal requirements to safeguard wildlife protected by law.	Yes
MHE 21/1	Mr Dennis Pepper	London Wildlife Trust (Barnet Branch) 33 Windsore Road, Finchley Church end, London, N3 3SN, 02083465874, pepper.sinuod@go oglemail.com	Written				Ecologic al assessm ent, Traffic, Sanders Lane LBB Depot, Dollis Brook, Wildlife and Open Spaces			Papers attached- Documents have not been suitable vehicles for involving local communities, interest groups and individuals in the planning process but rather for excluding and manipulating them. Regarding ecological Assessment: Phase 1 Habitat survey- The LPA is required to make its environment assessment available for public consultation before any deicision is taken but did not do so. Had a full EIA been published it is possible that thie Cabinet's 'preferred option' would have been different. As it is, we are now debating an option that makes it impossible to achieve anything like the 'habitat connectivity' referred to by the preliminary survey. In our view, the LPA has failed in its obligation to involve the community in this aspect of its proposals and its lack of transparency should be reviewed as a matter of urgency. Traffic- The green spine would have connected various habitats- instead there is to be a cross road for all forms of transport (considered a rat run to enable car drivers to avoid the Bittacy Road roundabout. The representation states that the LPA st	Yes	Yes

MHE 22/1	Major Dennis Bradley BEM	The Princess of Wales' Royal Regiment (Queen's and Royal Hampshires) Regimental headquarters, Howe Barracks, Canterbury, Kent CT1 1JY, 01227 818052, rhq@123pwrr.co.uk	Written					Middlesex regiment's War memorial	Middlesex regiment's War memorial	The plan states that the Middlesex Regiment's war Memorial is at present situated adjacent to the Officers' Mess building in Inglis Barracks and that it should either be retained where it is or be 'sensitively re-located'. Because of the Regiment's long association with Mill Hill the representation welcomes the proposal that the Memorial should remain within the Borough of Barnet. However if the Officers' Mess is retained and a suitable use can be found for it the representation states there is a real risk of it being lost in the midst of the proposed large-scale housing development. They state the importance of the Memorial being visible to the general public in an area where it is unlikely to be vandalised but it is also accessible for wreath laying on appropriate occasions. The representation suggests the following site: adjacent to the Mill Hill War Memorial opposite to St Paul's Chrch on the Ridgeway. St Paul's was the former Garrison Church and contains a Memorial Tablet.	Not stated	Yes
MHE 23/1	Colonel R M Cain OBE DL	Regimental Association of The Middlesex regiment (Duke of Cambridge's Own) 30 South Drive, Ruislip, Middlesex, HA4 8EX	Written							Endorses comments made by Major Bradley MHE 22. Representation does not feel it is appropriate that the memorial remains in its present location now that the area has no military connection. The ridgeway near to St Paul's Church is stated as an appropriate setting.		Yes

MHE 24/1	Ms Zoe Rahman (representing 123 signatories)	Bittacy and Sanders Residents' Association, 19 sanderslane, NW7 1BX, 07906108018, valeria.acerbi@tes co.net							2 Paragraph 1.3, 1.5, 4.4.1, Appendix 2, Appendix 3, P119	The representation states that the AAP has not been produced in accordance with the Statement of Community Involvement. Statements in the AAP asserting that community involvement was carried out should be removed from the AAP. The consultation exercises should be carried out again, this time in full and after notifying all concerned parties: - Consultation on issues and opportunities, alternatives options and consultation workshopm consultation on preferred options. Supporting information states in addition that, Verbal objections have been dismissed and ignored and not formally recorded. We have received misleading information verbally, and generally discouraged from engaging with the consultation process. Exhibition April 30 and September 17, April 7 and 15, May 21 and June 8. A total of 123 signatures have also been collected		Yes
----------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---	--	-----

MHE 25/1	Miss Zoe Rahman, Bittacy and Sanders Resident Association	Bittacy and Sanders Resident Association, 19 sasnders lane, NW7 1BX, 07930377919, b.a.s.r.a@hotmail.c om							7	Policy MHE7, MHE8, MHE9, MHE10, MHE11, MHE12, Proposals map 5.2, 5.3, 5.4, 5.5, 5.6	Representation considers the DPD unsound because it does not meet the following SA framework objectives: to reduce car dependency, to increase accessibility to and within the site and promote connectivity with surrounding areas, to encourage sustainable development, to protect and enhance existing biodiversity and natural habitats, to promote high quality urban design in conjunction with sustainable design, to protect, maintain and enhance quality of and access to open spaces, to improve health and promote healthy living, to reduce fear of crime, to improve the quality of life where people live, to foster a vibrant and cohesive community, to preserve/ enhance the urban/rural landscape in keeping with character of wider area, maintain and enhance historic environment and cultural / leisure assets of Mill Hill East and minimise noise pollution		Yes
----------	--	---	--	--	--	--	--	--	---	--	--	--	-----

MHE 25/2	Miss Zoe Rahman, Bittacy and Sanders Resident Association	Bittacy and Sanders Resident Association, 19 sasnders lane, NW7 1BX, 07930377919, b.a.s.r.a@hotmail.c om							Policy MHE 10, Proposals map 5.2 and 5.6	Policy MHE 10 should be changed to 'Sanders Lane should remain closed to any increase in traffic caused by the AAP or its future expansion i.e. Development SHOULD CONSTRAIN the reopening of Sanders Lane at any point in the future'. Representation states that the it should be changed becasue it does not meet SA4 To protect and engance existing and natural habitat. The supporting explanation refers to Dr. Oliver Natelson's representation. The explanation states that in order to re- open Sanders Lane, the current footpath would have to be condsierably widened (from 0.9m to approximately 9.6m allowing for 2 lanes with footpaths on either side). The road build up needed would be at leadst 0.5m deep and would require drainage. The bridge would have to be demolished and then re- built. The representation states that opening Sanders Lane would result inconsiderable loss of trees and plants that live on wither side of the lane (for a list of flora and fauna see appendix 2). The representation also ntes that the Council does not have a Biodiversity Action Plan in pl		Yes
MHE 25/3	Mr Peter Jones	Bittacy and Sanders Residents Association, 19 Sanders Lane, NW7 1BX, 07922165870, zozzy@tesco.net							7 Proposals 5.8.10, 5.8.14, MHE 10, 11, 15, 16, 19, 5.2	Representation states that phrase MHE10 should read 'Sanders Lane should remain closed to any through traffic, and should not be used as a relief road in the future to accommodate any increase in traffic caused by the AAP or its future expansion, i.e. Dev		Yes

MHE 25/4	Miss Zoe Rahman, Bittacy and Sanders Resident Association	Bittacy and Sanders Resident Association, 19 sasnders lane, NW7 1BX, 07930377919, b.a.s.r.a@hotmail.c om							para 5.8.14, and MHE 10	Representation states that phrase MHE10 should read 'Sanders Lane should remain closed to any through traffic, and should not be used as a relief road in the future to accommodate any increase in traffic caused by the AAP or its future expansion, i.e. Development should constrain the reopening of Sanders Lane at any point in the future'. Representation also states that paragraph 5.8.14 should be removed. DPD is stated as unsound because it does not meet SA Framework objectives 1,8,12. It is suggested that 1) Reopening Sanders Lane would increase car dependency by creating a road in place of what is currently a much used footpath 8) Sanders Lane allows ready access to Bittacy Park and the allotments 12) Sanders Lane is used extensively by the local community, it is stated that there is a strong community in Sanders Lane and that opening Sanders Lane would damage the cohesion.	Yes	Yes
----------	--	---	--	--	--	--	--	--	----------------------------	--	-----	-----

MHE 25/5	Miss Zoe Rahman, Bittacy and Sanders Resident Association	Bittacy and Sanders Resident Association, 19 sasnders lane, NW7 1BX, 07930377919, b.a.s.r.a@hotmail.com							7 para 5.8.10, Policy MHE10	The representation states that paragraph 5.8.10 should be removed from the DPD. The stated reasons are that 'change is necessary because the policy does not show how it ensures the 'social, environmental objectives of sustainability will be achieved and it is not founded on a robust evidence base'. If Sanders Lane were to re-open traffic would exit the AAP site, drive along Sanders Lane and would then have to turn either right or left down Devonshire Road, creating traffic build up on Devonshire Road. There are alternative routes which would be more suitable. As a result of traffic there would be an increase in pollution and the associated effects. Any short term relief in congestion would not outweigh the negative social and environmental impact of opening a road.	Yes	Yes
MHE 25/6	Miss Zoe Rahman, Bittacy and Sanders Resident Association	Bittacy and Sanders Resident Association, 19 sasnders lane, NW7 1BX, 07930377919, b.a.s.r.a@hotmail.com							Proposal map 5.5	The representation states that the map is incorrect. The areas marked as 'existing wooded edges' are not accurate. They provide attached photographic evidence. The exit of the new east-west route is shown on the map as not having an existing wooded edge. However directly across from Sanders Lane there are the following trees/ hedgerows: 7 Horse Chestnut Trees, 10 Hawthorns, Mature Ivy and Brambles. There are existing junctions are not marked on the map, which do not have trees. However trees are shown on the map. Trees next to Bray Road have recently been cut down. It is suggested that the map should be corrected to accurately reflect the existing wooded areas. If an exit was to be created opposite Sanders Lane, there would be significant tree loss, which goes against the AAP's policies.	Yes	Yes

MHE 25/7	Miss Zoe Rahman, Bittacy and Sanders Resident Association	Bittacy and Sanders Resident Association, 19 sasnders lane, NW7 1BX, 07930377919, b.a.s.r.a@hotmail.c om							7	Paragraph 5.8.14, MHE 10, 5.6	Representation states that paragraph 5.8.14 should be removed from the AAP and also that the shaded area on map 5.6 marking Sanders lane as a potential highways improvement area should be removed. It is suggested that the DPD is unsound because Policy MHE10, map 5.6 and paragraph 5.8.14 do not show the most appropriate links to the wider road network and are not based on robust and credible evidence. The assertion that Sanders Lane 'will be opened' has been contradicted by a letter dated 19 June from Ian Caunce, highways and a meeting attended by Martin Cowie. It is stated that Bittacy and Sanders Residents' Association would categorically not support the use of section 106 money to open Sanders Lane as a through-link road. It is stated that the re-opening of Sanders Lane contradicts the conclusion of Peter Brett Associates Traffic Consultants Non-Technical Summary report paragraph 6.5 which states that 'it is considered that Engel Park has the environmental capacity to accommodate the predicted	Yes	Yes
MHE 26/1	Mr Patrick Illingworth, 31 Sanders Lane, NW7 1BX, 07941469325			Yes- led to believe the Council that the AAP would have no impact on Sanders Lane				2A	Para 5.8.10, 5.8.14, Policy MHE10 and Proposals Map 5.6	Representation states that the DPD has not been prepared incompliance with eh Statement of Community Involvement particularly with regard to page 9, section 1.22 c) 'Transparency and Accessibility' The AAP states on page 61, section 5.8.10 and section 5.8.14 that the Sanders Lane 'will be reopened'. The representee has tried to contact the council on numerous occasions and has not received information on Sanders Lane. The representation states that online information was difficult to access. Objections would have been made sooner had the information been provided. It is suggested that the AAP is therefore unsound as people have not been consulted properly.		Yes	

MH 25/8	Miss Zoe Rahman, Bittacy and Sanders Resident Association	Bittacy and Sanders Resident Association, 19 sasnders lane, NW7 1BX, 07930377919, b.a.s.r.a@hotmail.c om					Procedur e		7	Para 5.8.8, 5.2, 5.4, 5.5, 5.6	The representation states that it is necessary to re-draw the AAP maps 5.2, 5.4, 5.5 and 5.6 in order to make the document sound. Paragraph 5.8.8 states the need to need to limit any loss of trees along the boundary of the site. Maps 5.2, 5.4, 5.5 and 5.6 all show the east west route as exiting directly opposite Sanders Lane. However, in order that to happen a number of trees would need to be cut down. Figure 3.1 Landownerships shows an existing route through the middle of the AAP area exiting at Bray Road onto Bittacy Hill. As this junction already exists and there are no trees in the immediate southern vicinity of the exit it is suggested that it would make sense for maps 5.2, 5.4, 5.5 and 5.6 to be redrawn to show the east-west link exiting junction of Bray Road. Although in the draft base-line report Bray Road is mentioned as not being a safe exit as it is on the inside of a curve there are no accident figures to show how dangerous it is. The proposed exit onto Bittacy Hill is also on the inside of a curve and until it is built there will not be any ac	Yes- We would like to expand on points made in the written representation form and show photographic/ expert highways evidence.	Yes
---------	--	---	--	--	--	--	---------------	--	---	-----------------------------------	--	---	-----

MH25/9	Miss Zoe Rahman, Bittacy and Sanders Resident Association	Bittacy and Sanders Resident Association, 19 sasnders lane, NW7 1BX, 07930377919, b.a.s.r.a@hotmail.com					Sanders Lane		7	5.8.14, MHE10 and proposals map 5.6	Policy MHE10- Phrase should be changed to Sanders Lane should remain closed to any traffic, and should not be used as a relief road in the future to accommodate any increase in traffic caused by the AAP or its future expansion, i.e. Development SHOULD CONSTRAIN the reopening of Sanders Lane at any point in the future. They believe that paragraph 5.8.14 should be removed from the AAP. The DPD is stated as unsound because it doesn't meet the following SA Framework objectives: 16 To maintain and enhance the historic environment and cultural/leisure assets of Mill Hill East) The area along Sanders Lane is stated as important historical significance. Sanders Lane is stated as shown on maps as far back as 1754 (Rocque's Survey) and one of the oldest remaining lanes in Mill Hill and the bridge (c late 1800s) crosses the path of an old railway line. It is suggested that many of these cultural and historic assets would be lost if Sanders Lane were to reopen. The lane is also stated as an important leisure asset of the Mill Hill East area. Therefore it is sug	Yes- we would like to expand on points made in this written representation	Yes
MH27/1	Miss Doreen Hainsworth- A leader of Crusaders (Urban sanits) based at Inglis Barracks and a voluntary worker linked with the local Parish Church	48 Engel Ppark, Mill Hill, NW7 2HP, 02083464462	Written		Yes	Yes	Community facilities	7,9	MHE6	Representation states a failure to make use of existing facilities already on site. For example, the Officer's Mess Gym. It is stated that this will contribute to the development of the new community. Miss Hainsworth's expereince of working with local young people and their families suggests there is an urgent need for provision	Written	Yes	
M27/2	Miss Doreen Hainsworth- A leader of Crusaders (Urban sanits) based at Inglis Barracks and a voluntary worker linked with the local Parish Church	48 Engel Ppark, Mill Hill, NW7 2HP, 02083464462	Written		Yes	Yes	Area for Intensification	7	Policy 1	The planned density for Mill Hill East area fails to acknowledge the suburban setting. The representation draws links between the community hub and high street model and Grahame park. The representation calls for use of the wooded areas for the setting of homes in the lower area.		Yes	

MHE 28/1	David Neal	Flat 3, Engel Lodge, 7 Engel Park, Mill Hill, NW7 2HE, 02083494134	Written		Yes	Yes	Community facilities		7	Throughout	The representation states that the document does not address the living conditions of the existing community during the course of the planned development. It states that Children, Young People and Adults need community and spiritual facilities now. Their safety and the continuation of basic services, such as street lighting needs addressing immediately.		Yes
MHE 28/2	David Neal	Flat 3, Engel Lodge, 7 Engel Park, Mill Hill, NW7 2HE, 02083494134		No-triggers not previously specified			Shopping		7	6.5.8, Policy MHE 19, Proposals map 5.2	Representation states that there is no need for a shopping parade. Delivery of the converted Officers' mess is required before any additional dwellings are occupied. School should be on the level ground at the top of the site.		Yes
MHE 28/3	David Neal	Flat 3, Engel Lodge, 7 Engel Park, Mill Hill, NW7 2HE, 02083494134		No- not aware of proposed storage pond on Scout camp site			Flood and drainage		7	Paragraph 5.9, MHE 14, and proposal map 5.5	The representation states that the detailed flood risk and drainage assessment study should have been done prior to submission of the Area Action Plan. As understood it is the main sewer was under the Army sportsfield and when it was overloaded many years ago cause such pollution to the sportsfield as to make it unusable for months. The Scout Camp is on slightly higher ground and unless water is to flow uphill is not suitable for a storage pond	Yes- to ensure the issue is aired in public	Yes
MHE 28/4	David Neal	Flat 3, Engel Lodge, 7 Engel Park, Mill Hill, NW7 2HE, 02083494134		No- not aware of the lack of local knowledge among those given the task of producing submission documentation			Mapping		7	Figure 5.4	Landscape context is inaccurate- as are figures 7.8, 7.9A and 7.9B in the Baseline Report. Inaccurate mapping of footpaths (such as Sanders lane, and along the old railway line and link from Bittacy Park to Bittacy Rise) and of location of bus stops, doctors, post offices etc. provided an unsatisfactory evidence base, from which unsatisfactory proposals have been drawn. Representation also notes that the block shown in the photo on page 15 is already demolished.	Yes- to ensure that the issues are aired in public.	Yes

MHE 28/5	David Neal	Flat 3, Engel Lodge, 7 Engel Park, Mill Hill, NW7 2HE, 02083494134			Yes	Yes	Gymnasium		7	Paragraph 3.4.9, 6.5.1 and MHE4 and proposal map 5.2	The representation states that the gymnasium building is worthy of retention and should be made available for community use immediately. Any proposed school should be located close enough to the existing gymnasium to be able to make use of the existing building. The representation asks why new indoor sports and recreation facilities would be provided when they already exist.	Yes- to ensure that the issues are aired in public.	Yes
MHE 28/6	David Neal	Flat 3, Engel Lodge, 7 Engel Park, Mill Hill, NW7 2HE, 02083494134		Yes- not aware of introducing bus route into the site			Transport		7	Paragraph 5.8.15 and 5.8.16, MHE12	The 221 provides a link from Bittacy Hill to Frith Lane via Mill Hill East station already. What appears to be missing is the suggested extension of the tube lines westwards to service Cophall leisure complex.	Yes	Yes
MHE 28/7	David Neal	Flat 3, Engel Lodge, 7 Engel Park, Mill Hill, NW7 2HE, 02083494134		Yes- not aware there was a suggestion of reopening of Sanders Lane			Sanders Lane		7	Paragraph 5.8, MHE 10 and proposal map 5.6	There should be no road access to the site opposite Sanders Lane. The suggestion of reopening Sanders Lane to through traffic is not acceptable. The East-West route across the site should be much further north and closer to Engel Park. The route to Mill Hill East station should be for pedestrians and cyclists only, to discourage car usage.		Yes
MHE 28/8	David Neal	Flat 3, Engel Lodge, 7 Engel Park, Mill Hill, NW7 2HE, 02083494134		Yes- Not identified as an issue			Greenery		7	8.2.8	The green frontage to Bittacy Hill should be maintained. Houses should not be built fronting onto Bittacy Hill. Only gardens should form the boundary to Bittacy Hill as per existing Notting Hill Housing Trust flats and houses. As the S/W outlook is better than the N/E. Existing Greenery is required to soften transition from suburban development on lower slopes to 'village' on Ridgeway itself. Bittacy Hill narrows as it climbs and further access/ parking on its upper reaches must be avoided at all costs.	Yes- A site visit is required and DN wishes to attend to ensure that issues are aired in public.	Yes

MHE 28/9	David Neal	Flat 3, Engel Lodge, 7 Engel Park, Mill Hill, NW7 2HE, 02083494134			Yes	Yes	Shopping	3,4,7	Paragraph 5.6, MHE4, MHE 15, MHE10, 5.2	It seems that a fundamental proposal is the creation of a new 'high street'. This is economically unsustainable unless at the expense of existing retail outlets in the Kelly's Corner area (Holders Hill Circus). Representation notes the demise of the Spar on Bray Road, wvwn those the housing was fully occupied and prior to the oepning of the Waitrose Supermarket on the former Gas Works site. The plan can only be made sound by a complete rewrite.	Yes- to ensure that the issues are aired in public.	Yes
MHE 29/1	David Howard, Planning Officer, The federation of Residents Associations of the Borough of Barnet (FORAB)	5 Eversleigh Road, New Banet, EN5 1NE					Supporting evidence	4A	Paragraph 1.2.3	Representation suggests that the words 'as approved by the Council's Cabinet on 22 November 2004' are added to the last bullet point in paragraph 1.2.3 because Council officers have produced their own version of the 'Three Strands Approach' (the glossy brochure) and give a link to it on page 113 of the Submission document (may 2008) for reference purposes. The Council's Democratic Services Mnanager has been unable to find any authorisation for the officer's version. The Cabinet member has advised at Scrutiny Committee that the Cabinet version is the authorised policy document. Therefore the existing wording fails the soundness test because it and the link to the related information is misleading and does not properly have regard to relevant policies of the Council.	Yes- To explain the representation and to have the opportunity to question Council officers.	Yes

MHE 29/2	David Howard, Planning Officer, The federation of Residents Associations of the Borough of Barnet (FORAB)	5 Eversleigh Road, New Banet, EN5 1NE		Yes			Supporting evidence	4A	2.5.3	Delete the existing descriptions of Strands 1,2 and 3 and replace with: 1) Absolute protection for Greenbelt, protected open spaces 2) Protect and enhance the best of Barnet Suburbia 3) Sustainable Strategic Growth, successful regeneration areas and high quality infill because the wording should follow the policy document approved by the Cabinet on 22 November 2004 and not the glossy officer version. Therefore the existing wording fails the soundness test because it does not follow the policy document approved by Cabinet on 22 November 2004.	Yes- To explain the representation and to have the opportunity to question Council officers.	Yes
MHE 29/3	David Howard, Planning Officer, The federation of Residents Associations of the Borough of Barnet (FORAB)	5 Eversleigh Road, New Banet, EN5 1NE			Yes	Yes	Transport		7 Paragraph 3.1.4	Delete the words in lines 2 and 3 which are addressed by the AAP, and replace with 'would need to be addressed'. Add a new sentence immediately after that reads 'Additional traffic modelling in consultation with community interest is required to ascertain whether the additional traffic arising from the development of the site can be accommodated with appropriate mitigation both in the immediate vicinity and the wider locality having particular regard to the projected development and population growth in the Borough and adjoining Boroughs and limited projected investment in the GLA road network affecting the Borough'	Yes- To explain the representation and to have the opportunity to question Council officers.	Yes

MHE 29/4	David Howard, Planning Officer, The federation of Residents Associations of the Borough of Barnet (FORAB)	5 Eversleigh Road, New Banet, EN5 1NE			Yes	Yes	London Plan conformi ty	2A	Paragraph 4.3.1	After the words 'local community' in line 3 insert the words 'based on the imperative to meet the London Mayor's hosing target for the AAP sites because the consultation process was conducted on the basis that the London Mayor's targets were to be met, when in fact the targets were indicative and the role of the AAP should have been to test the targets constrained within the strategic guidance as described in paragraphs 2.3.5 and 2.3.6. This will indicate how the consultation on the Submission document has not been in compliance with the London Plan or the Council's Statement of Community Involvement.		Yes
MHE 29/5	David Howard, Planning Officer, The federation of Residents Associations of the Borough of Barnet (FORAB)	5 Eversleigh Road, New Banet, EN5 1NE	Written	Yes			London Plan conformi ty	4A	1.2.3	Add the words 'local community' in line 3 insert the words 'based on the imperative to meet the London Mayor's hosuing target for the AAP site',. Because the consultation process was conducted on the basis that the London Mayor's targets were to be met when in fact the targets were indicative and the role of the AAP should have been to test the targets contained within the strategic guidance as described in paragraphs 2.3.5 and 2.3.6. The change will indicate how the consultation of the Submission document has not been changed in compliance with the London Plan or the Council's Statement of Community Involvement.	Yes	Yes

MHE 29/6	David Howard, Planning Officer, The federation of Residents Associations of the Borough of Barnet (FORAB)	5 Eversleigh Road, New Banet, EN5 1NE					Housing type			Delete the words 'including PPS3' in the second bullet point replace with the words 'including selective use of PPS3 so as to address the imperative of meeting the London Mayor's targets'. The current evidence is that there is a market imbalance in the Borough between houses with gardens and flatted accommodation partly through the redevelopment of existing houses. The AAP should be market responsive and addressing this market imbalance by specifically looking to provide for older and disabled people and houses for families with children in accordance with PPS3. Instead it is using historic information in the baseline assessment and advocating excessive provision of flats therefore the test is failed because it is inconsistent with national policy and the strategies fail to represent the most appropriate in all the circumstances, do not appear to have considered all the alternatives and are not founded on a robust and credible evidence base.	Yes- To explain the representation and to have the opportunity to question Council officers.	Yes
MHE 29/7	David Howard, Planning Officer, The federation of Residents Associations of the Borough of Barnet (FORAB)	5 Eversleigh Road, New Banet, EN5 1NE			Yes	Yes	Housing mix	7	Paragraph 5.2.5	Delete the words 'including PPS3' in the second bullet point replace with the words 'including selective use of PPS3 so as to address the imperative of meeting the London Mayor's targets'. The current evidence is that there is a market imbalance in the Borough	Yes- To explain the representation and to have the opportunity to question Council officers.	Yes

MHE 29/8	David Howard, Planning Officer, The federation of Residents Associations of the Borough of Barnet (FORAB)	5 Eversleigh Road, New Banet, EN5 1NE			Yes	Yes	Housing mix	4C	5.2.8	Delete existing paragraph with: 'In order to conform with the Mayor's London Plan and the site PTAL ratings and be compatible with the local context, the capacity of the site shall be assessed in accordance with the London Plan Table 3A.2 at residential green belt edge: 35 units/hectare (around 150 habitable rooms/hectare) Residential Central Cebtrak Slopes: 50 units/ ha (around 200 habitable rooms/ hectare) As the site should accommodate a high proportion of houses to address the market imbalance between houses and flats int he Borough and allow the development to be compatible with it wholly suburban context. It is wholly inappropriate that because of the imperative to meet the London Mayor's indicative targets it has been decided to shoe in irban densities, an excessive number of flats and a form of development that is out of keeping and unsympathetic to the suburban character of the area. This test is failed becasue it is inconsistent with rgional policy and the strategies fail to represent the most appropriate in all the circumstances, do not appear	Yes- To explain the representation and to have the opportunity to question Council officers.	Yes
----------	---	---	--	--	-----	-----	----------------	----	-------	--	--	-----

MHE 29/9	David Howard, Planning Officer, The federation of Residents Associations of the Borough of Barnet (FORAB)	5 Eversleigh Road, New Banet, EN5 1NE			Yes	Yes	Housing density		7/5.2.8	Delete existing paragraph with: 'In order to conform with the Mayor's London Plan and the site PTAL ratings and be compatible with the local context, the capacity of the site shall be assessed in accordance with the London Plan Table 3A.2 at residential green belt edge: 35 units/hectare (around 150 habitable rooms/hectare) Residential Central Cebtrak Slopes: 50 units/ ha (around 200 habitable rooms/ hectare) As the site should accommodate a high proportion of houses to address the market imbalance between houses and flats in the Borough and allow the development to be compatible with its wholly suburban context. It is wholly inappropriate that because of the imperative to meet the London Mayor's indicative targets it has been decided to shoe in urban densities, an excessive number of flats and a form of development that is out of keeping and unsympathetic to the suburban character of the area. This test is failed because it is inconsistent with regional policy and the strategies fail to represent the most appropriate in all the circumstances, do not appear to hav		Yes
----------	---	---	--	--	-----	-----	--------------------	--	---------	---	--	-----

MHE 29/10	David Howard, Planning Officer, The federation of Residents Associations of the Borough of Barnet (FORAB)	5 Eversleigh Road, New Banet, EN5 1NE			Yes	Yes	Housing density		7 5.4.5	Delete existing paragraph with: 'In order to conform with the Mayor's London Plan and the site PTAL ratings and be compatible with the local context, the capacity of the site shall be assessed in accordance with the London Plan Table 3A.2 at residential green belt edge: 35 units/hectare (around 150 habitable rooms/hectare) Residential Central Central Slopes: 50 units/ ha (around 200 habitable rooms/ hectare) Residential Southern Hub : 80 units/ha (around 250 habitable rooms/ hectare) that includes 300 replacement homes and 360 approved homes as part of extent planning consents. It is noted that the 2012 Northern Line signalling improvements will only support traffic based on existing residential planning consents in the vicinity of the Edgware and Barnet branches and further down the lines and that it will need the rebuilding of the Camden Town Junction before any further intensification could be contemplated and justified. As the site should accommodate a high proportion of houses to address the market imbalance between houses and flats in the Borough and allow th		Yes
--------------	---	---	--	--	-----	-----	--------------------	--	---------	---	--	-----

MHE 29/11	David Howard, Planning Officer, The federation of Residents Associations of the Borough of Barnet (FORAB)	5 Eversleigh Road, New Banet, EN5 1NE			Yes	Yes	Density		7 5.2.10	Delete the existing paragraph and replace with : 'In order to conform with the Mayor's London Plan and the site PTAL ratings and be compatible with the local context, the capacity of the site shall be assessed in accordance with the London Plan Table 3A.2 at residential green belt edge: 35 units/hectare (around 150 habitable rooms/hectare) Residential Central Central Slopes: 50 units/ ha (around 200 habitable rooms/ hectare) Residential Southern Hub : 80 units/ha (around 250 habitable rooms/ hectare) and 500 additional jobs, maximising the amount of development on site without compromising the area's suburban environment, green space or local amenities needed to support a new community. Reasons are stated as the site should accommodate a high proportion of houses and flats in the borough and allow the development to be compatible with tis wholly suburban context. It is wholly inappropriate that because of the imperative to meet the London.Mayor's indicative target it has been decided to use urban densities and excessive number of		Yes
--------------	---	---	--	--	-----	-----	---------	--	----------	---	--	-----

MHE 29/12	David Howard, Planning Officer, The federation of Residents Associations of the Borough of Barnet (FORAB)	5 Eversleigh Road, New Banet, EN5 1NE			Yes	Yes	Density	4C	Paragraph 5.3	Under the 'Residential' heading in table 5.3 delete the present wording under the column headed 'Proposal' and insert 'To accommodate in conjunction with the mixed use areas housing development based on: Residential Green Belt Edge: 35 units/hectare (around 150 habitable rooms/ hectare). Residential Central Central Slopes: 50 units/ ha (around 200 habitable rooms/ hectare) Residential Southern hub: 80 units/ha (around 250 habitable rooms/hectare) That includes 300 replacement homes and 360 approved homes as part of extant planning consents'. The representation states that the site should accommodate a high proportion of houses to address the market imbalance between hosues and flats in the Borough and allow the development to be compatible with its suburban context. The test is failed becasue it is inconsistent with regional policy and the strategies fail to represent the most appropriate in all the circumstances, do not appear to have considered all the alternatives and are not founded on a robust and credible evidence basis.		Yes
--------------	---	---	--	--	-----	-----	---------	----	---------------	--	--	-----

MHE 29/13	David Howard, Planning Officer, The federation of Residents Associations of the Borough of Barnet (FORAB)	5 Eversleigh Road, New Banet, EN5 1NE			Yes	Yes	density		7	Paragraph table 5.3	Under the 'Residential' heading in table 5.3 delete the present wording under the column headed 'Proposal' and insert 'To accommodate in conjunction with the mixed use areas housing development based on: Residential Green Belt Edge: 35 units/hectare (around 150 habitable rooms/ hectare). Residential Central Central Slopes: 50 units/ ha (around 200 habitable rooms/ hectare) Residential Southern hub: 80 units/ha (around 250 habitable rooms/hectare) That includes 300 replacement homes and 360 approved homes as part of extant planning consents'. The representation states that the site should accommodate a high proportion of houses to address the market imbalance between houses and flats in the Borough and allow the development to be compatible with its suburban context. The test is failed because it is inconsistent with regional policy and the strategies fail to represent the most appropriate in all the circumstances, do not appear to have considered all the alternatives and are not founded on a robust and credible evidence basis.		Yes
--------------	---	---	--	--	-----	-----	---------	--	---	---------------------	--	--	-----

MHE 29/14	David Howard, Planning Officer, The federation of Residents Associations of the Borough of Barnet (FORAB)	5 Eversleigh Road, New Banet, EN5 1NE			yes	yes	density	4C	paragraph 5.4.5	Delete existing paragraph and replace with: 'In order to conform with the Mayor's London Plan and the site PTAL ratings and be compatible with the local context, the capacity of the site shall be assessed in accordance with the London Plan Table 3A.2 at residential green belt edge: 35 units/hectare (around 150 habitable rooms/hectare) Residential Central Central Slopes: 50 units/ ha (around 200 habitable rooms/ hectare) Residential Southern Hub : 80 units/ha (around 250 habitable rooms/ hectare) that includes 300 replacement homes and 360 approved homes as part of extant planning consents. It is noted that the 2012 Northern Line signalling improvements will only support traffic based on existing residential planning consents in the vicinity of the Edgware and Barnet branches and further down the lines and that it will need the rebuilding of the Camden Town Junction before any further intensification could be contemplaed and justified. As the site should accommodate a high proportion of houses to address the market imbalance between houses a		Yes
--------------	---	---	--	--	-----	-----	---------	----	-----------------	---	--	-----

MHE 29/15	David Howard, Planning Officer, The federation of Residents Associations of the Borough of Barnet (FORAB)	5 Eversleigh Road, New Banet, EN5 1NE			Yes	Yes	housing density		7	paragraph 5.4.5	Delete existing paragraph with: 'In order to conform with the Mayor's London Plan and the site PTAL ratings and be compatible with the local context, the capacity of the site shall be assessed in accordance with the London Plan Table 3A.2 at residential green belt edge: 35 units/hectare (around 150 habitable rooms/hectare) Residential Central Central Slopes: 50 units/ ha (around 200 habitable rooms/ hectare) Residential Southern Hub : 80 units/ha (around 250 habitable rooms/ hectare) that includes 300 replacement homes and 360 approved homes as part of extent planning consents. It is noted that the 2012 Northern Line signalling improvements will only support traffic based on existing residential planning consents in the vicinity of the Edgware and Barnet branches and further down the lines and that it will need the rebuilding of the Camden Town Junction before any further intensification could be contemplated and justified. As the site should accommodate a high proportion of houses to address the market imbalance between houses and flats in the Borough and allow th		Yes
MHE 29/16	David Howard, Planning Officer, The federation of Residents Associations of the Borough of Barnet (FORAB)	5 Eversleigh Road, New Banet, EN5 1NE			Yes	Yes	Housing density	4C	5.4.8	Delete the existing paragraph and replace with: 'The site is suitable for a arrange of residential densities which will vary across the site from 35 dph (150 hr/ha) at the Green Belt edges to a maximum of 95 dph (250 hr/ha) in the southern part of the site. Densities should be in accordance with the local context and the guidelines set out in table 3A.2 of the London Plan (February 2008): Residential Green Belt Edge: 35 units/ hectare with a PTAL of 0-1, Residential Central Central Slopes: averaging 50 units/ha with a PTAL of 2-3, Residential Southern Hub: averaging 80 units/ha with a PTAL of 2-3. Detailed guidance on appropriate densities is provided in Part 3.	Yes	Yes	

MHE 29/17	David Howard, Planning Officer, The federation of Residents Associations of the Borough of Barnet (FORAB)	5 Eversleigh Road, New Banet, EN5 1NE			Yes	Yes	Housing density	4C	Paragraph 5.4.8,	Delete the existing paragraph and replace with: "The site is suitable for a range of residential densities which will vary across the site from 35 dph (150 hr/ha) at the Green Belt edges to a maximum of 95 dph (250 hr/ha) in the southern part of the site. Densities should be in accordance with the local context and the guidelines set out in Table 3A.2 of the London Plan (February 2008): Residential Green Belt Edge: 35 units/hectare with a PTAL of 0-1, Residential Central Central Slope: averaging 50 units/ha with a PTAL of 2-3, Residential Southern Hub: averaging 80 units/ha with a PTAL of 2-3. Detailed guidance on appropriate densities is provided in part 3. The explanation stated is that the site should accommodate a high proportion of houses to address the market imbalance between houses and flats in the Borough and allow the development to be compatible with its suburban context. It is inappropriate that because of the imperative to meet the London Mayor's indicative target, that urban densities have been used. The test is failed because it is inconsistent with region		Yes
--------------	---	---	--	--	-----	-----	--------------------	----	------------------	--	--	-----

MHE 29/18	David Howard, Planning Officer, The federation of Residents Associations of the Borough of Barnet (FORAB)	5 Eversleigh Road, New Banet, EN5 1NE			Yes	Yes	Housing density		7	Paragraph 5.4.8	Delete the existing paragraph and replace with: 'The site is suitable for a range of residential densities which will vary across the site from 35 dph (150 hr/ha) at the Green Belt edges to a maximum of 95 dph (250 hr/ha) in the southern part of the site. Densities should be in accordance with the local context and the guidelines set out in Table 3A.2 of the London Plan (February 2008): Residential Green Belt Edge: 35 units/hectare with a PTAL of 0-1, Residential Central Central Slope: averaging 50 units/ha with a PTAL of 2-3, Residential Southern Hub: averaging 80 units/ha with a PTAL of 2-3. Detailed guidance on appropriate densities is provided in part 3. The explanation stated is that the site should accommodate a high proportion of houses to address the market imbalance between houses and flats in the Borough and allow the development to be compatible with its suburban context. It is inappropriate that because of the imperative to meet the London Mayor's indicative target, that urban densities have been used. The test is failed because it is inconsistent with region		Yes
MHE 29/19	David Howard, Planning Officer, The federation of Residents Associations of the Borough of Barnet (FORAB)	5 Eversleigh Road, New Banet, EN5 1NE			Yes	Yes	housing density	4C		Paragraph 5.4.10	Delete the existing paragraph- for reasons of density stated above		Yes
MHE 29/20	David Howard, Planning Officer, The federation of Residents Associations of the Borough of Barnet (FORAB)	5 Eversleigh Road, New Banet, EN5 1NE			Yes	Yes	Housing density		7	Paragraph 5.4.10	Delete the existing paragraph- for reasons of density stated above		Yes
MHE 29/21	David Howard, Planning Officer, The federation of Residents Associations of the Borough of Barnet (FORAB)	5 Eversleigh Road, New Banet, EN5 1NE			Yes	Yes	Housing density		7	Paragraph 5.4.12	Replace the word 'seek' with in line 4 'require'. As if the council is committed to ensuring that all new housing in the borough is built to lifetime standards, it should enforce it. The test is failed because the strategy fails to represent the most appropriate in all the circumstances.		Yes

MHE 29/22	David Howard, Planning Officer, The federation of Residents Associations of the Borough of Barnet (FORAB)	5 Eversleigh Road, New Banet, EN5 1NE			Yes	Yes		4C	5.6.7	Delete the number 2000 in the first line- The site should accommodate a high proportion of houses to address the market imbalance between hosues and flats in the Borough and allow the development to be compatible with its wholly suburban context. The test is failed because it is inconsistent with regional policy and the strategies fail to represent the most appropriate in all the circumstances do not appear to have considered all the alternatives and are not founded on a robust and credible evidence base		Yes
MHE 29/23	David Howard, Planning Officer, The federation of Residents Associations of the Borough of Barnet (FORAB)	5 Eversleigh Road, New Banet, EN5 1NE			Yes	Yes			7 paragraph 5.6.7	Delete the number 2000 in the first line- The site should accommodate a high proportion of hghouses to address the market imbalance between houses and flats in the Borough and allow the development to be compatible with its wholly suburban context. The test is failed becasye it is inconsistent with regional policy and the strategies dail to represent the most appropriate in all the circumstances do not appear to have considered all the alternatives and are not founded on a robust and credible evidence base		Yes
MHE 29/24	David Howard, Planning Officer, The federation of Residents Associations of the Borough of Barnet (FORAB)	5 Eversleigh Road, New Banet, EN5 1NE			Yes	Yes			7 Paragraph 5.7.3	Delete the second and third sentences of paragraph 5.7.3 and replace with: 'The AAP supports this objective and will provide the recommended level of open space, notwithstanding it will reduce the number of residential units that can be accommodated on the site'- Open space standards should not be reduced in order to achiee indicative density targets- The test is failed because the strategy fails to represent the most appropriate in all the circumstances.		Yes

MHE 29/25	David Howard, Planning Officer, The federation of Residents Associations of the Borough of Barnet (FORAB)	5 Eversleigh Road, New Banet, EN5 1NE							7	5.8.10	Delete the first sentence of paragraph 5.8.10 and replace with: 'Real time traffic analysis (VISSIM modelling) has indicated the need for improvements to the existing highway network sitting outside the AAP boundary. This is to ensure that any traffic created by the development will not cause increases in traffic congestion. However it will be necessary to conduct additional traffic modelling in consultation with community interests is required to ascertain whether the additional traffic arising from the development of the site can be accommodated with appropriate mitigation both in the immediate vicinity and the wider locality, having particular regard to the projected development and population growth in the Borough and adjoining Boroughs and limited projected investment in the GLA road network affecting the Borough.' As there has only been a limited and parochial view of the traffic implications of the major housing growth planned for the Borough and adjoining areas. the test is failed because the strategy fails to represent the most appropriate in all		Yes
MHE 29/26	David Howard, Planning Officer, The federation of Residents Associations of the Borough of Barnet (FORAB)	5 Eversleigh Road, New Banet, EN5 1NE			yes	yes	Parking		7	MHE13: Parking	Add a new paragraph at the end that reads 'occupants of dwelling within the AAP area will not be issued with residents' parking permits for any Controlled Parking Areas created outside the AAP area. The addition to the policy will impede overspill on street parking outside the AAP area in accordance with the Council Cabinet's Three Strands Approach report resolution of 22 November 2004. The test is failed because the strategy fails to represent the most appropriate in all the circumstances and has not considered all alternatives.		Yes

MHE 29/27	David Howard, Planning Officer, The federation of Residents Associations of the Borough of Barnet (FORAB)	5 Eversleigh Road, New Banet, EN5 1NE			Yes	Yes	Housing type	4C	Policy MHE-CA1 Residential Green Belt Edge	Delete the three sentences under the heading 'Residential Development' and replace with: 'Appropriate density: The overall density is to be 35 dwellings per hectare. Development mix: The mix is to be only houses. Appropriate building heights: 2 storeys with rooms in the roof.' The site should accommodate a high proportion of houses for the reasons stated above.		Yes
MHE 29/28	David Howard, Planning Officer, The federation of Residents Associations of the Borough of Barnet (FORAB)	5 Eversleigh Road, New Banet, EN5 1NE			Yes	Yes	Housing type		7 Policy MHE-CA1 Residential Green Belt Edge	Delete the three sentences under the heading 'Residential Development' and replace with: 'Appropriate density: The overall density is to be 35 dwellings per hectare. Development mix: The mix is to be only houses. Appropriate building heights: 2 storeys with rooms in the roof.' The site should accommodate a high proportion of houses for the reasons stated above.		Yes
MHE 29/29	David Howard, Planning Officer, The federation of Residents Associations of the Borough of Barnet (FORAB)	5 Eversleigh Road, New Banet, EN5 1NE			Yes	Yes	Housing type	4C	MHE-CA2: Residential Central Slopes	Delete the three sentences under the heading 'Residential Development' and replace with: 'Appropriate density: The overall density is to be 50 dwellings per hectare. Development mix: The mix is to be 80% houses and 20% flats. Appropriate building heights: up to 3 storeys with rooms in the roof'. For the reasons stated above		Yes
MHE 29/30	David Howard, Planning Officer, The federation of Residents Associations of the Borough of Barnet (FORAB)	5 Eversleigh Road, New Banet, EN5 1NE			Yes	Yes	Housing type		7 MHE-CA2: Residential Central Slopes	Delete the three sentences under the heading 'Residential Development' and replace with: 'Appropriate density: The overall density is to be 50 dwellings per hectare. Development mix: The mix is to be 80% houses and 20% flats. Appropriate building heights: up to 3 storeys with rooms in the roof'. For the reasons stated above		Yes

MHE 29/31	David Howard, Planning Officer, The federation of Residents Associations of the Borough of Barnet (FORAB)	5 Eversleigh Road, New Banet, EN5 1NE			Yes	Yes	Housing type	4A	Figure 8.7	Amend Figure 7 to show 3 storey with rooms in the roof on the AAP side of Bittacy Hill to complement the scale and context of the existing houses on the west side of Bittacy Hill- to allow the development to be compatible with the suburban context. It is suggested that the test is failed because it is inconsistent with regional and local policy and the strategies fail to represent the most appropriate in all the circumstances, do not appear to have considered all the alternatives and are not based on robust and credible evidence		Yes
MHE 29/32	David Howard, Planning Officer, The federation of Residents Associations of the Borough of Barnet (FORAB)	5 Eversleigh Road, New Banet, EN5 1NE			Yes	Yes	Hosing types	4A	Figure 8.7	Amend Figure 7 to show 3 storey with rooms in the roof on the AAP side of Bittacy Hill to complement the scale and context of the existing houses on the west side of Bittacy Hill- to allow the development to be compatible with the suburban context. It is suggested that the test is failed because it is inconsistent with regional and local policy and the strategies fail to represent the most appropriate in all the circumstances, do not appear to have considered all the alternatives and are not based on robust and credible evidence		Yes
MHE 29/33	David Howard, Planning Officer, The federation of Residents Associations of the Borough of Barnet (FORAB)	5 Eversleigh Road, New Banet, EN5 1NE			Yes	Yes	Housing type		7 Figure 8.7	Amend Figure 8.7 to show 3 storey with rooms in the roof on the AAP side of Bittacy Hill to complement the scale and context of the existing houses on the west side of Bittacy Hill- to allow the development to be compatible with the suburban context.		Yes
MHE 29/34	David Howard, Planning Officer, The federation of Residents Associations of the Borough of Barnet (FORAB)	5 Eversleigh Road, New Banet, EN5 1NE			Yes	Yes	Housing type		7 Figure 8.8	Amend Figure 8.8 to show 3 storey with rooms in the roof on the AAP side of Bittacy Hill to complement the scale and context of the existing houses on the west side of Bittacy Hill- to allow the development to be compatible with the suburban context.		Yes

MHE 29/35	David Howard, Planning Officer, The federation of Residents Associations of the Borough of Barnet (FORAB)	5 Eversleigh Road, New Banet, EN5 1NE			Yes	Yes	Housing type	4C	MHE-CA3: Residential Southern Hub	Delete: the three sentences under the heading 'Residential Development' and replace with: 'Appropriate density: The overall desity is to be 80 dwellings per hectare. Development mix: the mix is to be 45% flats, 15% retirement flats and 40% houses. Appropriate building heights: up to 4 storeys with rooms in the roof. The Southern Hub should accommodate a high proportion for houses for the reasons stated above. In addition, consistent with the PPS3 there should be a proportion of retirement flats to meet current demand and so as to release family housing in the locality.		Yes
MHE 29/36	David Howard, Planning Officer, The federation of Residents Associations of the Borough of Barnet (FORAB)	5 Eversleigh Road, New Banet, EN5 1NE			Yes	Yes	Housing type	7	MHE-CA3: Residential Southern Hub	Delete: the three sentences under the heading 'Residential Development' and replace with: 'Appropriate density: The overall desity is to be 80 dwellings per hectare. Development mix: the mix is to be 45% flats, 15% retirement flats and 40% houses. Appropriate building heights: up to 4 storeys with rooms in the roof. The Southern Hub should accommodate a high proportion for houses for the reasons stated above. In addition, consistent with the PPS3 there should be a proportion of retirement flats to meet current demand and so as to release family housing in the locality.		Yes
MHE 29/37	David Howard, Planning Officer, The federation of Residents Associations of the Borough of Barnet (FORAB)	5 Eversleigh Road, New Banet, EN5 1NE			Yes	Yes	Housing type	4C	MHE-CA4: Mixed Use Local High Street	Delete: the last sentence under 'Community Hub' and replace with: 'Appropriate building heights: 4 storeys with rooms in the roof.' This is a suburban location and urban styles are felt to be inappropriate. In addition, consistent with the PPS3 there should be a proportion of retirement flats to meet current demand and so as to release family housing in the locality.		Yes

MHE 29/38	David Howard, Planning Officer, The federation of Residents Associations of the Borough of Barnet (FORAB)	5 Eversleigh Road, New Banet, EN5 1NE			Yes	Yes	Housing type		7	MHE-CA4: Mixed Use Local High Street	Delete: the last sentence under 'Community Hub' and replace with: Appropriate building heights: 4 storeys with rooms in the roof.' This is a suburban location and urban styles are felt to be inappropriate. In addition, consistent with the PPS3 there should be a proportion of retirement flats to meet current demand and so as to release family housing in the locality.		Yes
MHE 30/1	Mr John E Turtle, President, Mill Hill Preservation Society (Reg. Charity, 212993)	The Studio, Mote End, Nan Clark's Lane, Mill Hill, London, NW7 7HH	Written	Yes- not aware of the statement			IBSA House - Employ ment use		7	Paragraph- 5.5.5, Policy MHE5, and Proposals map 5.2	The society is concerned that paragraph 5.5.5 illustrated by a photograph captioned 'IBSA House: An important local employment site within the AAP area' is seriously misleading and not founded on a base of credible evidence. The site is occupied by the International Bible Students' Association (Jehovah's Witness) and accommodated a training centre, an offset-litho printing, and bookbinding work with associated warehousing of materials and finished product. By normal industry standards it is on a modest scale and observation does not lead the representees to believe that there are 500 jobs on site. They had previously been told that there are only 10 to 15 people involved in printing and warehousing. The representation states that they understand that all people on the site are Jehovah's Witnesses. They understand that there are no jobs which members of the public who are not members of the religious community can apply for. They were not aware that there were plans for IBSA to vacate the site. They believe the plan should be amended	Yes	Yes

MHE 30/2	Mr John E Turtle, President, Mill Hill Preservation Society (Reg, Charity, 212993)	The Studio, Mote End, Nan Clark's Lane, Mill Hill, London, NW7 7HH		Yes- it isn't considered as a viable or sensible option			Land use- Officer's mess		6 Paragraph 5.5.4, MHE6, Proposals map 5.2	The society is strongly in favour of retaining the Officer's Mess and supports the view that it should be used for community purposes. However the representation views the text as largely incompatible with Policy MHE3 Employment (para 5.5.4) which states that as apart from the creation of around 500 new jobs 'The conversion of the Officers' Mess for leisure purposes'. In particular we draw attention to paras 5.6.9 under UDP policies HC14 and HC15 . Para 5.6.11 is incompatible with the intention of using the premises for genuine community use as fewer than half of all adults ever visit a bar or pub and fewer than half of adults ever visit a restaurant at lunchtime and only a third of people go for a meal in the evening. Furthermore use of the premises as a pub or restaurant is likely to generate additional car journeys as those attracted by the character provided may come from a wider catchment. This appears to contradict with policy M1 of the UDP, given the lower PTA of this part of the site		Yes
MHE 31/1	Deb Stephens from CGMS consulting on behalf of Metropolitan Police Authority	CsMs Consulting Ltd. Morley House, 26 Holborn Viaduct, London , EC1A 2AT, 02078321472			Yes 29 October 2007					Representation states the MPA believe it is reasonable to seek contributions towards policing needs where these directly arise as a result of significant development proposals.		Yes
MHE 32/1	Mr B Lazarus	57 Grants Close, NW7 1DE, 02083491933, brad.lazarus@linz.co.uk	Written	yes- the matter was not raised as it wasn't apparent that plans for a two lane highway along Sanders Lane was planned			Sanders Lane	7	5.8.14; 5.8.10; 5.8	The representation states 'The proposal to build a highway along Sanders Lane would destroy a vital footpath to the community at present.		Yes

MHE 33/1	Ms Anna Scott, Major Projects Officer	Environment Agency, Eastbury House, 30-34 Albert Embankment, London, SE1 7TL, 0207091 4042, anna.scott@enviro nment- agency.gov.uk	Written			Yes	Consider ed sound		8.2.9 and 8.2.10, Policy MHE-CA2: Character Area 2: Residential Central Slopes	The representation strongly supports paragraph 8.2.9 and 8.2.10 of Policy MHE-CA2: Character Area 2: Residential Central Slopes in relation to accomodating SUDS features such as swales and using the park for additional storage capacity.	n/a	Yes
MHE 33/2	Ms Anna Scott, Major Projects Officer	Environment Agency, Eastbury House, 30-34 Albert Embankment, London, SE1 7TL, 0207091 4042, anna.scott@enviro nment- agency.gov.uk	Written			Yes	Consider ed sound		Policy MHE7: Parks and Public Open Space	We strongly support the policy MHE7: Parks and Public Open Space and the supporting text and Figure 5.5 Illustrative Landscape and Sustainable Urban Drainage (SUDS) Plan.	n/a	Yes
MHE 33/3	Ms Anna Scott, Major Projects Officer	Environment Agency, Eastbury House, 30-34 Albert Embankment, London, SE1 7TL, 0207091 4042, anna.scott@enviro nment- agency.gov.uk	Written			Yes	Consider ed sound		Policy MHE 14: Creating a sustainable Development	Representation strongly supports Policy MHE14: Creating a Sustainable Development and the supporting text including SUDS, green and brown rooves, grey-water recycling and development of a drainage strategy. Flood Risk Assessment is considered acceptable although further investigation at outline planning stage to ensure that the most sustainable methods of drainage are utilised on site. The run off rate has been agreed at 13l/s/ha		Yes
MHE 33/4	Ms Anna Scott, Major Projects Officer	Environment Agency, Eastbury House, 30-34 Albert Embankment, London, SE1 7TL, 0207091 4042, anna.scott@enviro nment- agency.gov.uk	Written			Yes	Consider ed sound		Policy MHE9: Protection of Greenbelt and Biodiversity	Representation strongly supports this		Yes
MHE 33/5	Ms Anna Scott, Major Projects Officer	Environment Agency, Eastbury House, 30-34 Albert Embankment, London, SE1 7TL, 0207091 4042, anna.scott@enviro nment- agency.gov.uk	Written			Yes	Consider ed sound		Paragraph 8.1.11, MHE-CA1: Character Area 1, Residential Green Belt Edge	Representation strongly supports paragraph policy MHE-CA1: Character Area 1, Residential Green Belt Edge in relation to creating opportunities for wildlife corridors linking into the green belt.		Yes

MHE 34/1	Mrs Veronica Weldon	The Laboratory Spa and Health, 40 Colenso Drive, Mill Hill, London, NW7 2EB, 02089590893, veron@homecall.co.uk	Written		Yes	Yes	Sanders Lane		3 Paragraph 5.8.14, 5.8.10, 5.8 Submission Draft May '08, Policy 'there is no policy for the redevelopment of Sanders Lane, Proposals Map Figure 5.6 Illustrative Street Network Plan	Representation states 'In my opinion, to make the DPD sound, the total removal of the development of Sanders Lane from the Action Plan is required. This will allow Sanders Lane to continue to comply with the framework of objectives as stated in the Sustainability Appraisal May '08 below: Rrepresentation comments on SA Framework Objectives 1. To reduce cr dependency by improving transport, as it considered Sanders Lane as a key walk way for a number of schools and access to parks; 3) Social cohesion and the accessibility of necessary services for the local population will be ameliorated, Sanders Lane is stated as a key local amenity 4)Protection, enhancement and creation of open space will provide opportunities to conserve and enhance biodiversity in the entire site; 4) Protection, enhancement and creation of open spaces will provide opportunities to conserve and enhance biodiversity int he entire site; Sanders Lane stated as natural habitat for trees, birds and wildlife including moles, toads and bats.12- And use of Sanders Lane as a pathway to an all	Yes	Yes
MHE 35	Ian Webster	76 Grants Close ianwebster76@hotmail.com	Written				Sanders Lane			Email representation objects to the plans to now include a 2 lane highway along the route of Sanders NW7 as part of the Mill Hill East Action Plan		Yes
MHE 36/1	Mr Daniel Hope	Director, The Suburbs Foundation (www.suburbs.org.uk), 33 Francklyn Gardens, Edgware, Middlesex, HA8 8RU, 07525858949, d@nhope.co.uk	Written			Yes	housing density		4 MHE2	Representation states that the council has not had regard to the Three Strands Strategy as passed by the Council's Cabinet. Suggests replace 'around 2000' with 'at least 1000'. Replace: 'a significant proportion of family' with 'at least 80% being houses'. Replace: '145 dph (150-450 hr/ha) with '80dph (150-250 hr/ha) in accordance with PPS3 and the London Plan 2008 density matrix 3A.2 and delete 'a net average density of around 85 dph is proposed'.	Yes- 'necessary to cross examine third parties to fully understand this issue and bring out the facts'.	Yes

MHE 36/2	Mr Daniel Hope	Director, The Suburbs Foundation (www.suburbs.org.uk), 33 Francklyn Gardens, Edgware, Middlesex, HA8 8RU, 07525858949, d@nhope.co.uk	Written			Yes	Hosing types		7	MHE2	Representation states that the Council has not commissioned research to Land Registry and other market data showing a severe shortage of housing in Barnet. The market for flats is much weakened. House prices are unaffordable as the Council continues to encourage the development of unwanted flats. Suggests replace 'around 2000' with 'at least 1000'. Replace: 'a significant proportion of family' with 'at least 80% being houses'. Replace: '145 dph (150-450 hr/ha) with '80dph (150-250 hr/ha) in accordance with PPS3 and the London Plan 2008 density matrix 3A.2 and delete 'a net average density of around 85 dph is proposed'.		Yes
MHE 36/3	Mr Daniel Hope	Director, The Suburbs Foundation (www.suburbs.org.uk), 33 Francklyn Gardens, Edgware, Middlesex, HA8 8RU, 07525858949, d@nhope.co.uk	Written			Yes	Housing density	4b (not consistent with PPS3)		MHE2	Representation states that the Council has not had sufficient regard to PPS3. The Baseline analysis page 2 of Appendix A gives weight to cancelled PPG3 but not the draft PPS3 which has strong density guidance and specific criteria which it requires Planning Authorities to take account of when producing density policies		Yes
MHE 36/4	Mr Daniel Hope	Director, The Suburbs Foundation (www.suburbs.org.uk), 33 Francklyn Gardens, Edgware, Middlesex, HA8 8RU, 07525858949, d@nhope.co.uk	Written			Yes	Density	4c		Policy MHE2	The representation states that the Council persists in calling the area south of the site as 'urban' contrary to the definition in the London Plan 2008 at 3.23. This unsound assertion drives densities unsuitable for the 'generally suburban area and to aim to fulfill an 'indicative target' breaching the UDP policy D4 and others. The representation suggests that '145 dph (150-450 hr/ha) is replaced with '80dph (150-250 hr/ha)		Yes

MHE 36/5	Mr Daniel Hope	Director, The Suburbs Foundation (www.suburbs.org.uk), 33 Francklyn Gardens, Edgware, Middlesex, HA8 8RU, 07525858949, d@nhope.co.uk				Yes	Housing density	4C	MHE-CA3	The representation states that the Council persists in calling the area south of the site as 'urban' contrary to the definition in the London Plan 2008 at 3.23. Amend 'a more urban, higher density of development', to read 'A higher density of development, within the Suburban range of table 3A.2 of the London Plan 2008...' Amend: '100-145' to read '40-80' and '250-450' to read '150-250'.	Yes	Yes
MHE 36/6	Mr Daniel Hope	Director, The Suburbs Foundation (www.suburbs.org.uk), 33 Francklyn Gardens, Edgware, Middlesex, HA8 8RU, 07525858949, d@nhope.co.uk				Yes	Housing density	4C	8.3.2	The representation states that the Council persists in describing the area to the South of the site as 'urban' contrary to the definition in the London Plan 2008 at 3.23. Replace '120' with '60' and '350' with '200'.	Yes	Yes
MHE 36/7	Mr Daniel Hope	Director, The Suburbs Foundation (www.suburbs.org.uk), 33 Francklyn Gardens, Edgware, Middlesex, HA8 8RU, 07525858949, d@nhope.co.uk	Written			Yes	Housing density	4C	8.3.1	The representation states that the Council persists in describing the area to the South of the site as 'urban' contrary to the definition in the London Plan 2008 at 3.23. Delete all after 'the local high street' and replace with 'the character of this area is generally suburban that could sustain higher suburban sensities'.	Yes	Yes
MHE 36/8	Mr Daniel Hope	Director, The Suburbs Foundation (www.suburbs.org.uk), 33 Francklyn Gardens, Edgware, Middlesex, HA8 8RU, 07525858949, d@nhope.co.uk	Written			Yes	Housing density		75.4.7	The representation states that the council persists in describing the area to the South of the site as 'urban'. The Council presents no other evidence to support an urban character other than they presence of an underground station. The representation suggests that 'but near to the Underground station there is the potential to achieve a higher density of development' to read 'but near to the Underground station there is the ptential to achieve a higher density of development within the Suburban range in table 3A.2 of the London Plan.	Yes	Yes

MHE 36/9	Mr Daniel Hope	Director, The Suburbs Foundation (www.suburbs.org.uk), 33 Francklyn Gardens, Edgware, Middlesex, HA8 8RU, 07525858949, d@nhope.co.uk	Written			Yes	Housing density	4C	5.4.7	The representation states that the council persists in describing the area to the South of the site as 'urban'. Amend 'but near to the Underground station there is the potential to acheive a higher density of development' to read 'but near to the Underground station there is the potential to achieve a higher density of development within the Suburban range in table 3A.2 of the London Plan.	Yes	Yes
MHE 36/10	Mr Daniel Hope	Director, The Suburbs Foundation (www.suburbs.org.uk), 33 Francklyn Gardens, Edgware, Middlesex, HA8 8RU, 07525858949, d@nhope.co.uk	Written			Yes	Housing density	4C	5.4.8	The representation states that the council persists in describing the area to the South of the site as 'urban'. Remove the third bullet point '45-170 units/ha proposed in urban locations with a PTAL of 2-3'. Amend 'higher density development (within the suburban range in Table 3A.2 of the London Plan 2008'	Yes	Yes
MHE 37/1	Mr Robin J hale	22 Ashley Walk Mill Hill, NW7 1DO	Written			Yes	Sanders Lane		7 Paragraph 4.1 Test 4	Representation states 1) removal of the proposal to convert Sanders Lane into a 2 lane highway 2) The apparent proposal to convery 'Ashely Walk into a two lane highway to the 'A1',	Yes	Yes
MHE 38/1	Jeremy Clifford	Jeremy and laura Clifford, 1 Tiverton Way, Mill Hill East, NW7 1GE	Written			Yes	Sanders Lane			Representation form is considered too complicated to complete. Email sent to oppose plans to develop Sanders Lane. Representation states that Sanders Lane is a beautiful nature trail and footpath that the family enjoy	?	Yes
MHE 39/1	Valeie Levene	81 Colenso Drive, Mill Hill, NW7 2EA, 02089061923, valerielevene@btinternet.com	Written				Sanders Lane			Representation states opposition to development of Sanders Lane into a two lane highway. The representation states the function of the lane as a natural habitat home to wildlife and bat species, a valued local amenity. Concern is expressed about the changing nature of the area and that Mill Hill is know as a green area close to local amenities, and that there will be increased noise and pollution if Sanders Lane is developed.		Yes

MHE 40/1	Graham Saunders	English Heritage, 1 Waterhouse Square, 138-142 Holborn, London, EC1N2ST, 02079733000					Sustainability report			This representation comments on the sustainability report. It welcomes the objective 16 'to maintain and enhance the historic environment and cultural/leisure assets of Mill Hill East'. They suggest the key findings could be expanded further. For example, the Officers Mess is identified as a heritage asset that will be retained and reused. They suggest that there are other buildings and structures that have the potential of being retained and reused. For example at Caterham Guards Depot Edwardian barracks similar to those at Mill Hill have been successfully converted to an alternative use. They suggest that the north west corner of the MOD site has an environmental quality that should be retained and enhanced. The representation suggests that these issues have not been successfully drawn out of the Sustainability Appraisal.		Yes
MHE 40/2	Graham Saunders	English Heritage, 1 Waterhouse Square, 138-142 Holborn, London, EC1N2ST, 02079733000					Inglis Barracks	3.4.9	On the Inglis Barracks site the existing buildings are described as varying in age, height and building materials with the Officers Mess identified for improvement. They support the re-use of this important building. However they also question whether any other buildings on the site could be retained and reused for alternative uses		Yes	

MHE 40/3	Graham Saunders	English Heritage, 1 Waterhouse Square, 138-142 Holborn, London, EC1N2ST, 02079733000					Environmental Quality		4.3	Green and leafy hillside, we support the desire to retain the leafy character of the site. The representation states that the relationship between the existing landscape and some of the older buildings on site has not been explored. Principally the north west corner appears to have environmental quality due to the relationship of the existing building (Edwardian) and the surrounding landscape (e.g. mature trees which may have been planted at the time the Edwardian barracks were constructed). It is important to ensure that this relationship is not lost or is enhanced during this period of significant change.		Yes
MHE 40/4	Graham Saunders	English Heritage, 1 Waterhouse Square, 138-142 Holborn, London, EC1N2ST, 02079733000					Design Quality		page 76 Policy MHE16 Delivering Design Quality	Representation states: This policy could be expanded so that it explicitly ensures that any new design created demonstrates an understanding of its context. This includes an understanding of the site and its wider surroundings, in terms of landscape, built form and historic environment.		Yes
MHE 40/5	Graham Saunders	English Heritage, 1 Waterhouse Square, 138-142 Holborn, London, EC1N2ST, 02079733000					Conserving Built Heritage		pg 78- Policy MHE17 Conserving Built Heritage	In general we support his policy, but would suggest that it is expanded to take account of heritage assets that may not fall within the site boundary but may be affected by the new development . It is noted that this issue is mentioned in the supporting text, but we suggest this matter is explicitly referred to in the policy.		Yes

MHE 41/1	Miss Jacqueline Norwood	Save Sanders Lane Campaign, 21 Tavistock Avenue, Mill Hill, NW7 1GA, 07939821905, j.norwood178@btinternet.com		Yes- we were not consulted and therefore not aware of the issue					Paragraph 5.8.14, Policy MHE10	Paragraph 5.8.14 pertaining to Sanders Lane reads that 'This route is not required as part of the AAP but in the longer term will be reopened to improve the borough wide east-west movement. The representation states that to make the document sound we feel that this wording should be removed and any reference to Sanders Lane being redeveloped should also be removed from the AAP (for example, paragraphs 5.8.10 and 5.8). The representation states that it is not necessary to include any reference to Sanders Lane in the AAP, as it does not form part of the Area Action Plan.	Yes- it is stated as as 'absolutely imperative that I attend this meeting, due to the neighbouring (to Sanders Lane) community not being made aware of the redevelopments to Sanders Lane until May 2008. We have not been given sufficient time to make representations in full. As of June 23 we have over 250 members who have joined our campaign and we expect this number to increase. They have set up a website www.sanders-lane.co.uk.	Yes
MHE 41/1				Yes- we were not consulted and therefore not aware of the issue			Process		Process	On the subject of community involvement the representation states that ' as a member of the Save Sanders Lane campaign, I was only notified about the developments on Sanders Lane by neighbours from BASRA. I would have had no knowledge of the plans relating to Sanders Lane as detailed in paragraph 5.8.14 and also as stated in London Borough of Barnet's Corporate Plan 2008/9-2011/12- Chapter 3 which states that the road will be completed by 2011. The letter dated May 12 was not received at the home. The representee tried to access Barnet Council's website and found that the address which he council had typed in their letter was incorrect, and was unable to download the representation form. The form was not available on the section of the council's website It was put on the website 24 hours later after Miss Jacqueline Norwood contacted the Council. Miss Norwood states contacted residents of the Lidbury Square estate and found that residents were not aware of the plans. the community of Mill Hill feel that they have not had the		Yes

MHE 42/1	Mr Adam Gross	25 Honilton Gardens, Mill Hill NW7 1GF, 07967821239	Written				Sanders Lane			Representee has concerns with the proposed changes to Sanders Lane. The representee has had a telephone conversation with Marvyn Bartlett from the Highways service. The representee understands from this conversation that Sanders Lane is part of the Councils Corporate Plan. Mr Bartlett explained that the London Borough of Barnet is currently investigating the feasibility of changing Sanders Lane and that the residents around the area will be written to if the proposal is to go ahead. Given that the feasibility study is still in its early stages the representation questions whether Sanders Lane should be included in the AAP documents. The suggestion is that there the paragraphs concerning Sanders Lane should be removed and Sanders Lane be dealt as a separate issue where necessary.		Yes
----------	---------------	---	---------	--	--	--	--------------	--	--	---	--	-----

MHE 43/1	Edelle carr	edelle and Peter Carr, 62 Grants Close, London, NW7 1DE	Written				Sanders Lane			Representees are also acting on behalf of Mrs V Hunt (61 Grants Close) and Ms C Dunkley (63 Grants Close). The representees consider the representation forms on the website extermely difficult to complete and not user friendly for the average resident. The objection is to the validity of Sanders Lane redevelopment being part of the Mill Hill East Action Plan. 1) The proposed redevelopment of Sanders Lane is an important issue that should not be tageed onto the end of another matter. The suggestion is that Sanders Lane should have a separate planning process and proper consultation on its own or shelved completely as inappropriate 2) The residents most affected by the proposed redevelopment who reside in Sanders Lane, Lidbury Square and Grants Close did not receive proper notification of the development and as such consultation papers should be sent out again, giving more time for proper representation to be made. Each householder shuold be sent a letter. The only notification received in Grants Close was a copy of a letter which was issued by		Yes
----------	-------------	---	---------	--	--	--	--------------	--	--	--	--	-----

MHE 43/1	Edelle carr	edelle and Peter Carr, 62 Grants Close, London, NW7 1DE	Written				Sanders Lane			Referring to the actual redevelopment of the lane. The representees do not believe that Sanders Lane should be redeveloped for vehicle access because 1) Sanders Lane is a quiet tree lined lane used for local schools, commuters and cyclists. It is one of the safest cycles routes in the borough. 2) The development of the lane will not ensure accessibilty to local amenities it will detract from them. 3) The development of the lane as a bus route will not benefit those whose houses back onto the lane, few have direct access to the lane at present and whilst there are trees backing onto these properties providing a screen, most if not all of these trees may be removed 4) The garden at 62 Grants Close is adjacent to the lane and the lane is much used. The area is described a quiet idyll 5) The two lane highway will take away the habitat for wildlife and trees. 6) Local authorities should be mindful of the environment and the need to reduce the carbon footprint. Representation states the increased risk of folooding and pollution cause by the		Yes
----------	-------------	---	---------	--	--	--	--------------	--	--	--	--	-----

MHE 44/1	John Vail	40 Bittacy Rise Mill Hill, 02083461463					Sanders Lane			The representation states that any reference to the re-development of Sanders Lane into a two way highway should be removed from the Mill Hill East Area Action Plan. It states two reasons: because the lane has been used as a footpath for over 20 years and is a safe route and because opening the lane would increase traffic. Rush hours motorists who meet congestion on the A41 going north towards Mill Hill Circus will turn to Page Street, Pursley Road and through Sanders Lane. The Gas works has been split in two to prevent it being used as a rat run. Opening up Sanders lane would change traffic flows across a wider area of north London. Traffic will pass 5 schools. It is suggested that if Sanders lane is left closed with money used to improve the surface for cyclists and pedestrain then the new residents will walk their children to school.		Yes
MHE 45/1	Peter Wood	pgwood25@btinternet.com					Sanders Lane and process			Objection raised to Sanders Lane as they appear opposed to the stated aims and objectives of Barnet's LDF. The consultation process is stated as flawed.		Yes
MHE 46/1	Anne Gaguin	130 Devonshire Road, NW7 1DJ 02083469921 geniesilk@hotmail.com	Written				Sanders Lane			Objection to Sanders Lane being made into a highway. The representation notes the loss of the Gas Board field and the loss of Lombardy Poplar trees.		Yes

MHE 47/1	Miss Sue Carling	5 Woodberry Close, NW7 1GD, 02083464199	Written	Yes- The wording used has been contradictory and I was not aware until brought to attention by the Save Sanders Lane Action Group and the Area Action Plan contained the Council's firm intent to redevelop Sanders Lane into a 2 lane highway (Paragraph 5.8.14)			Sanders Lane		3) Paragraph 5.8.14, 5.8.10, 5.8 Submission Draft May '08, Policy- There is no policy or the redevelopment of Sanders lane, Proposals Map-Figure 5.6 Illustrative Street Network Plan	To make the DPD sound, the total removal of Sanders Lane from the Action Plan is required. This will allow Sanders lane to continue to comply with the framework of objectives as stated in the Sustainability Appraisal May'08 as below. Representations were made according to a number of SA Framework Objectives: 1) Sanders Lane is by walkers; to Mill Hill East Tube, By many parents and children to walk to Dollis Primary School, Cophall Girls School and the Little Wonders nursery. 2) Sanders Lane is used by many local people to walk their dogs and access to Bittacy Park 3) Sanders Lane is a key local amenity 4) Sanders Lane provides a natural habitat for numerous wildlife species 8) The open space of Bittacy Park will not be enhanced by building of a two lane highway 9) Sanders Lane provides access to 'Barnet Countryside Leisure Walks' and 'Borough of Barnet Permissive Paths' 12) Sanders Lane leads to an allotment. 13) Local Scouts use this area and Sanders Lane is a natural habitat that children can learn from 14) The existing	Yes	Yes
----------	------------------	---	---------	---	--	--	--------------	--	---	--	-----	-----

MHE 48	Michelle Gay Lewis	6 Woodberry Close, Mill Hill, London NW7 1GD, Telephone: 0208346 5022, email: shellewis88@hotmail.com	Written	Yes					3 paragraph 5.8.14 & 5.8.10 & 5.8 Submission Draft May '08, There is no policy for the redevelopment of Sanders Lane, Proposals map figure 5.6 Illustrative Street Network Plan	The wording used by the Council in all matters connected to the redevelopment of Sanders Lane is highly contradictory and I was not aware until brought to my attention by the Save Sanders Lane Action Group and the Area Action Plan contained the Council's firm intent to redevelop Sanders Lane into a two lane highway (paragraph 5.8.14). 1) If the route is not part of the AAP (paragraph 5.8.14) why is it within the AAP Submission Draft of May '08? 2) If it is only a 'potential' reopening of Sanders Lane (paragraphs 5.8), why is it stated as 'the route ... will be re-opened' (paragraph 5.8.14) which 'compliments the wider strategic objective to create an east-west link across the central part of the Borough linking Pursely Road/ Devonshire Road with Frith Lane through extension and improvement of Sanders Lane' (paragraph 5.8.10)?		Yes
MHE 48/2	Michelle Gay Lewis	6 Woodberry Close, Mill Hill, London NW7 1GD, Telephone: 0208346 5022, email: shellewis88@hotmail.com	Written	Yes			Sanders Lane		paragraph 5.8.14 & 5.8.10 & 5.8 Submission Draft May '08, There is no policy for the redevelopment of Sanders Lane, Proposals map figure 5.6 Illustrative Street Network Plan	The representation considers that to make the DPD sound, the total removal of the development of Sanders Lane from the Action Plan is required. This will allow Sanders Lane to continue to comply with the framework of objectives as stated in the Sustainability Appraisal May '08 as below: Same evidence submitted as Veronic Weldon: MHE 34		Yes

MHE 49	Mr Mark Roberts,	BGC Partners, 1 Churchill Place, London, E14 5RD	Written				Sanders Lane			Representation is from a resident in Shillingford Close. Only informed of the councils plan to change the current Sanders Lane into a two road highway on Tuesday June 17 by the Save Sanders Lane campaign group who leafleted residents of Lidbury Square development. The representee feels that the proposal was not conveyed to residents of the surrounding area. The reason why the representee decided to build buy a house where they did because that development covers a large area that is very peaceful a with many trees and grass areas; there is no through traffic at all making it safer for children and a cleaner environment. There is no traffic noise within the development and the only people to enter are residents, friends or relatives. The representation states that the development would reduce the benefits of the area and contradict why people made the decision to live in Lidbury Square,	Yes
--------	------------------	--	---------	--	--	--	-----------------	--	--	--	-----